

Larry Hogan, *Governor*
Boyd K. Rutherford, *Lt. Governor*



Pete K. Rahn, *Secretary*
Gregory C. Johnson, P.E., *Administrator*

STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

RESEARCH REPORT

GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF STEEL SLAG USE IN HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION

Ahmet H. Aydilek

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK

Project number SP209B4H

FINAL REPORT

October, 2015

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Maryland State Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No. MD-16-SHA-UM-2-30	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle Geotechnical and Environmental Impacts of Steel Slag Use in Highway Construction		5. Report Date October 2015	
		6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author/s Ahmet H. Aydilek		8. Performing Organization Report No.	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address University of Maryland 1171, Glenn L. Martin Hall, University of Maryland College Park, MD-20742		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
		11. Contract or Grant No. SP209B4H	
12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address Maryland State Highway Administration Office of Policy & Research 707 North Calvert Street, Baltimore MD 21202		13. Type of Report and Period Covered: Final Report	
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code (7120) STMD - MDOT/SHA	
15. Supplementary Notes			
16. Abstract Steel slag is a byproduct of iron and steel production by the metallurgical industries. Annually, 21 million tons of steel slag (SS) is produced in the United States. Most of SS is landfilled, which represents a significant economic loss and a waste of valuable land space. Steel slag has great potential for the construction of highway embankments; however, its use has been limited due to its high swelling potential and its alkalinity. The swelling potential of steel slags may lead to the deterioration of the structural stability of highways, and high alkalinity poses an environmental challenge as it affects the leaching behavior of trace metals. This study seeks a methodology that promotes the use of steel slag in highway embankments by minimizing these two main disadvantages. Accelerated swelling tests were conducted to evaluate the swelling behavior of pure steel slag and SS treated water treatment residual (WTR), an alum-rich by-product of drinking water treatment plants. Sequential batch tests and column leach tests, as well as two different numerical modeling analyses, UMDSurf and WiscLEACH, were carried out to check the environmental suitability of the methods. Tests were conducted to study the effect of a common borrow fill material that encapsulated the slag in the embankment and the effects of two subgrade soils on the chemical properties of slag leachate. The results indicated that an increase in WTR content in the SS-WTR mixtures yielded a decrease in pH and most of the leached metal concentrations, except aluminum. The change in the levels of pH, after passing through encapsulation and subgrade, depends on the natural pHs of materials; the tests showed that the metal concentrations exhibit mostly a pH-dependent behavior. Both UMDSurf and WiscLEACH predicted that field metal concentrations would be significantly lower than the metal concentrations obtained in laboratory leaching tests, and field concentrations would decrease with time and distance due to dispersion in surface waters and the soil vadose zone.			
17. Key Words Steel slag, swelling, leaching, embankments		18. Distribution Statement: No restrictions This document is available from the Research Division upon request.	
19. Security Classification (of this report) None	20. Security Classification (of this page) None	21. No. Of Pages: 82	22. Price

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72) Reproduction of form and completed page is authorized.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Steel slag is a byproduct of iron and steel production by the metallurgical industries. Annually, 21 million tons of steel slag (SS) is produced in the United States. Most of SS is landfilled, which represents a significant economic loss and a waste of valuable land space. Steel slag has great potential for the construction of highway embankments; however, its use has been limited due to its high swelling potential and its alkalinity. The swelling potential of steel slags may lead to the deterioration of the structural stability of highways, and high alkalinity poses an environmental challenge as it affects the leaching behavior of trace metals. This study seeks a methodology that promotes the use of steel slag in highway embankments by minimizing these two main disadvantages.

Accelerated swelling tests were conducted to evaluate the swelling behavior of pure steel slag and SS treated water treatment residual (WTR), an alum-rich by-product of drinking water treatment plants. Sequential batch tests and column leach tests, as well as two different numerical modeling analyses, UMDSurf and WiscLEACH, were carried out to check the environmental suitability of the methods. Tests were conducted to study the effect of a common borrow fill material that encapsulated the slag in the embankment and the effects of two subgrade soils on the chemical properties of slag leachate.

The results indicated that an increase in WTR content in the steel slag-WTR mixtures yielded a decrease in pH and most of the leached metal concentrations, except aluminum. The change in the levels of pH, after passing through encapsulation and subgrade, depends on the natural pHs of materials; the tests showed that the metal concentrations exhibit mostly a pH-dependent behavior. Both UMDSurf and WiscLEACH predicted that field metal concentrations would be significantly lower than the metal concentrations obtained in laboratory leaching tests, and field concentrations would decrease with time and distance due to dispersion in surface waters and the soil vadose zone.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
MECHANICAL BEHAVIOR OF STEEL SLAG.....	3
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	3
2.2 MATERIALS	4
2.3 METHODS.....	5
2.3.1 Bitumen Coating	5
2.3.2 Volumetric Expansion Tests	7
2.4 RESULTS.....	7
2.4.1 Index Properties and Compaction Characteristics	7
2.4.2 Strength Properties.....	10
2.4.3 Accelerated Swelling Tests	10
2.5 CONCLUSIONS.....	17
TRACE METAL LEACHING FROM STEEL SLAG INTO SURFACE WATERS	18
3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	18
3.2 MATERIALS	18
3.3 METHODS.....	21
3.3.1 Sequential Water Leach Tests (SWLT)	21
3.3.2 Sequential Column Leach Tests (SCLT)	21
3.3.3 Chemical Analysis	24
3.3.4 Modeling of Contaminant Transport in Surface Waters.....	25
3.4 RESULTS.....	27
3.4.1 Sequential Water Leach Tests (SWLT)	27
3.4.2 Column Leach Tests (CLT)	31
3.4.3 Chemical Transport Modeling	37
3.5 CONCLUSIONS.....	40
TRACE METAL LEACHING FROM STEEL SLAG INTO GROUNDWATER	41
4.1. INTRODUCTION.....	41
4.2. MATERIALS	41
4.3 METHODS.....	41
4.3.1 Laboratory Leaching Tests	41
4.3.2 Modeling of Contaminant Transport in Groundwater	47
4.4. RESULTS.....	48
4.4.1 Sequential Water Leach Tests (SWLT)	48

4.4.2	Sequential Column Leach Tests (SCLT)	55
4.4.3	Modeling of Chemical Transport in Groundwater.....	60
4.5.	RESULTS.....	67
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		68
5.1	CONCLUSIONS.....	68
5.2	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES	70
5.3	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR USE OF STEEL SLAG IN HIGHWAY EMBANKMENTS.....	72
REFERENCES		73

SECTION ONE

INTRODUCTION

The American Society of Civil Engineers estimates that \$2.2 trillion is needed over a five-year period to improve the nation's infrastructure. Establishing a long-term development and maintenance plan is a national priority. Part of this long-term plan involves evaluating the large volumes of earthen materials that are used in transportation infrastructure construction. In many cases, these materials can be fully or partially replaced with suitable recycled materials that are normally disposed in landfills. This change could generate millions of dollars in savings to taxpayers. For instance, steel slag (SS) is a material that the Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA) has expressed an increasing interest in. Steel slag is produced during the separation of molten steel from impurities in steel-making furnaces and is, by mass, approximately 15% of the original steel output. The slag occurs as a molten liquid and is a complex solution of silicates and oxides that solidifies upon cooling. Approximately 21 million tons of SS are produced annually in the United States, and only 10-15% of this slag is reused. The remainder is either stockpiled or placed in landfills (USGS, 2014). Many contractors have approached SHA requesting the use of SS, but SHA currently does not have any specifications available to regulate the material's use.

Processed steel slag has favorable mechanical properties for aggregate use, including good abrasion resistance and high bearing strength (Geiseler 1996, Rohde et al. 2003, Ahmedzade and Sengoz 2009, Deniz et al. 2009, Yildirim and Prezzi 2009). Although steel slag can be an attractive construction material, there are two main problems that need to be carefully examined. First, steel slag aggregates generally exhibit a tendency to expand, due to the presence of free lime and magnesium oxides that have not yet reacted with silicate structures. Because of this chemical composition, slag can expand in humid environments, which could cause the heaving of pavements and uneven, rough and cracked pavement surfaces. This could result in millions of dollars in annual repair costs to rehabilitate the structures (Motz and Geiseler 2001, Juckes 2003, Rojas 2004, Deniz et al. 2009). The other key issue is the possible release of pollutants to the environment. Steel slag is mainly composed of environmentally benign oxides like calcium, silicon and iron, but it may also contain low concentrations of potentially toxic elements, including chromium, nickel and vanadium. Additionally, the high pH levels of leachate from steel slag ($\text{pH} > 12$) exceeds a maximum pH of 8.5 in water discharges as allowed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE). The pH conditions and the potential for leaching pollutants will be highly influenced by the intended slag application (embankment fill vis-à-vis base) and the possible use of simple additives to mitigate adverse properties. Understanding these issues and finding ways to reduce geotechnical and environmental risks are necessary to promote the use of steel slag in highway construction in Maryland.

Use of steel slag has several benefits to the State. Some of these include: reducing solid waste disposal costs incurred by industries, reducing landfill requirements, minimizing damage to natural resources caused by excavating earthen materials for construction, conserving production energy, and ultimately providing sustainable construction and economic growth. Furthermore, highway engineers will be able to utilize local materials and thereby reduce environmental impacts and provide cost-benefits to the State. Moreover, these efforts are

parallel with the objectives of Green Highways Partnerships (GHP) and SHA is a partner in GHP.

As mentioned above, several studies have been conducted regarding the mechanical properties of steel slag. Leaching of metals from steel slag has also been studied (Fallman et al. 2000, Apul et al. 2005, Gomes and Pinto 2006, Huijgen and Comans 2006, Mayes et al. 2008, De Windt et al. 2011). However, there is a lack of information on the flow of steel slag leachate through natural soils. Mineralogy affects the particle charge and buffering capacity of soils significantly, which may result in lower metal concentrations in the leachate. This study consisted of the following tasks:

- 1) Determining the mechanical properties of steel slag and evaluating its swelling behavior.
- 2) Conducting batch (small-scale) water leaching tests for a quick estimate of metal leaching behavior.
- 3) Conducting long-term sequential column leaching tests to study leaching behavior and controlling mechanisms for the trace metals from pure and treated steel slag, and to study the effects of underlying subgrades on fate and transport of these chemicals in the environment.
- 4) Determining the evaluation of the impact of effluents from steel slags on surface water and groundwater through two recent numerical models.

Section 2 of this report evaluates the mechanical behavior and the swelling potential of steel slag and compares the two different swell suppression methods. Section 3 of this report evaluates metal leaching from pure or treated steel slag within highway embankments into surface waters. Section 4 evaluates metal leaching from pure or treated steel slag within highway embankments into groundwater. Section 5 provides a summary of research findings and a list of recommendations for future work.

SECTION TWO

MECHANICAL BEHAVIOR OF STEEL SLAG

2.1 INTRODUCTION

Steel slag has been used in a variety of applications in the construction industry. In particular, steel slag (SS) produced via blast furnace is used in a wide range of highway applications, such as granular base, concrete and hot mix asphalt aggregates and supplementary cementitious materials (Rohde et al. 2003, Tsakiridis et al. 2008, Shen et al. 2009, Suer et al. 2009, Wang et al. 2010). Steel slag was reported as having excellent strength characteristics due to their angular shape and rough surface texture (Deniz et al. 2009). Steel slag also possesses high bulk-specific gravity and exhibits good compaction behavior. However, SS obtained from basic oxygen furnaces (BOF) and electric arc furnaces (EAF) is less commonly used in highway applications due to their volumetric instability in the presence of moisture. The expansion of free lime (CaO) and free periclase (MgO), as well as the conversion of dicalciumsilicate (C_2S) and the oxidation of iron and carbonation of CaO and Mg silicates results in the volumetric instability of steel slags (Wang 2010). CaO lime can fully hydrate in a few days when immersed in water. This behavior is not favorable, particularly when steel slag is used in rigid matrices (Deniz et al. 2010).

Several efforts were made to use SS in highway bases/subbases, low volume roads and in soil stabilization. Verhasselt and Choquet (1989) performed a series of accelerated swell tests on steel slag samples and claimed that the critical CaO content for slags used in pavements should be 4.5% with a maximum linear expansion limit of 1%, which was set based on swelling tests. The same study also recommended placing sand layers above and below the steel slag layer due to the fact that voids inside the sand layer could tolerate the swelling of the slag. Geiseler (1996) said there is no need to restrict the volumetric expansion of steel slag in certain applications, such as in the construction of parking lots or in landscaping projects. Geiseler (1996) also proposed that the free lime content of non-aged SS should not exceed 7% and 4%, respectively, for use in unbound layers and bituminous (asphalt) road layers. Deniz et al. (2010) conducted swelling tests on different reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) samples, including surface binder RAP materials with 60% SS aggregates, surface RAP materials with 92% SS aggregates and virgin SS aggregates, among other samples. Results showed that RAP materials with SS aggregates expand less compared to virgin materials mixed with SS. Deniz et al. (2010) claimed that the high absorption characteristics of RAP materials and the high alkalinity of SS could be the reason for the observed lower swell. This phenomenon was related to the fact that the surface texture of SS was rougher than the natural aggregate, its friction properties were superior and its improved adhesion ability with an asphalt binder. In another study, Yıldırım and Prezzi (2009) showed that the addition of 10% Class C-Fly ash by weight can reduce swelling values of EAF slags, as well as the aged and fresh BOF steel slag, to negligible levels.

Wang (1992) found that voids of a granular material could partially compensate for the swelling of steel slag. Expansion tests under a surcharge of 2.5 kPa were conducted on two different types of steel slag. The volume expansion was assumed to be due to the presence of free lime, and an empirical formula related to the initial free lime (CaO) percentage to porosity and compacted density of steel slag was proposed. Wang et al. (2010) also pointed out that the void volume in bulk steel slag under an external surcharge could internally absorb a volume

expansion equal to 7-8% of the void ratio of steel slag. Wang et al. (2010) developed a usability criterion based on the volume expansion of steel slag and the minimum percentage of the volume that can take the volume expansion of steel slag.

Motz and Geiseler (2001) developed another criteria based on the contents of CaO and MgO, as both can influence the swelling behavior of SS. As there is no reliable test method to determine the free MgO content of SS, this study suggested the use of a steam test in which a compacted slag specimen is subjected to a flow of steam from a steam unit at 100°C for 24 hours if the MgO content is less than 5%, and 168 hours otherwise (CEN EN 1744-1).

European Code (TC 154) has classified steel slag aggregates into four main groups, ranging from V_A to V_D . Steel slag aggregates can be used in unbound and asphalt layers only if they meet the criterion for Class V_A . For a steel slag aggregate to be classified as Class V_A , the maximum expansion of the steel slag sample can be no more than 3.5% for bituminous mixes and 5% for unbound mixtures, with a 24-hour steam test for SS with MgO less than 5% and a 168-hour steam test for SS with MgO greater than 5%). Yzenas (2008) has performed autoclave tests and developed a scale to evaluate the suitability of steel slag as construction aggregates. According to this scale, a steel slag sample undergoing up to 11% of volumetric expansion may be classified as a “suitable” material. If the measured volumetric expansion is between 11 and 16%, then the material is called “marginal,” and further testing is recommended. Any other materials with a volumetric expansion greater than 16% are not suitable materials for building and should be rejected.

However, Juckes (2003) evaluated the swelling behavior of several SS aggregates both in the laboratory and in situ, and the results of the experiment indicated that the two swelling behaviors could be very different. Accelerated laboratory swelling tests are quick and provide the maximum expansion for a given steel slag sample; however, the field rate of swelling was found to be much lower under atmospheric conditions.

Previous research showed that steel slag can be a good alternative to natural aggregates in highway base/subbase layers (Rohde et al. 2003). However, most of these studies suggested that SS should be aged under atmospheric conditions before being used. The objective of the current study was to test the efficacy of an innovative method that decreases the swelling potential of SS while still preserving their mechanical properties. In order to meet this objective, steel slag materials were coated with bituminous asphalt material, or mixed with water treatment residual (WTR). These mixtures, along with the virgin steel slag material, were subjected to accelerated swelling tests.

2.2 MATERIALS

Steel slag (SS) used in this study was produced via blast oxygen furnaces (BOF). SS samples were collected from field stockpiles that include six-month-old (6MS), one-year-old (1YS), and two-year-old (2YS) SS. Debris and foreign material in SS were removed by hand before testing. All three steel slag materials were classified as well-graded sand with silt (SW), according to Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), and A-1-b, according to the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Classification System. They did not exhibit any plasticity and contained 12%, 10% and 12% silty fines by weight, respectively.

Bituminous coating (BC), water treatment residual (WTR) addition and natural sandy soil amendment methodologies were applied to decrease the swell potential of virgin steel slag. WTR, a byproduct that comes from treating drinking water, is an aluminum-based material and

does not contain any surface or groundwater pollutants. It was collected from the Rockville Drainage Drinking Water Treatment Plant and was air-dried and sieved through a U.S. No. 40 sieve before mixing with the slag. WTR contains 76% fines by weight and shows no plasticity. Asphalt binder PG-64-22 was used for BC. The physical properties of the asphalt binder can be found at Irving Oil (2013). The asphalt binder is solid at room temperature and is a viscous fluid at 90°C. The measurements conducted according to EPA Method SW-846 and Method 9045 showed that the pH of S and WTR materials were 12.4 and 6.9, respectively. The steel slagSS was mixed with the asphalt binder at a ratio of 4% by weight, while WTR materials were added at a ratio of 10%20% and 30% by weight.

Sandy soil (or borrow material) that is commonly used in embankment construction by the Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA) was utilized in preparing the soil and SS mixtures. Soil was collected from a pit in Denton, Maryland, and was sieved through U.S. No. 4 sieve (4. 75 mm) upon transporting to the laboratory. The soil was classified as poorly graded sand with silt (SP-SM), according to the Unified Soil Classification System, and A-3 (fine sand), according to the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Classification System. The soil showed no plasticity based on consistency limit tests per ASTM D4318. The physical properties of the soil, along with the steel slags and the WTR, are summarized in Table 2.1.

All mixtures prepared in the current study were compacted at their optimum moisture contents (w_{opt}) and maximum dry unit weights ($\gamma_{dry-max}$) using the standard Proctor effort (ASTM D698). SS was sieved through a U.S. 3/8" (9.5 mm) sieve before it was mixed with the asphalt binder. For preparation of the SS-WTR mixtures, it was sieved through a U.S.3/4" (19 mm) sieve. Finer SS material was preferred in the SS-BC mixtures to prevent coarser aggregates from absorbing large portions of the asphalt binder. This procedure helps to achieve a more uniform coating of the SS aggregates.

2.3 METHODS

2.3.1 Bitumen Coating

The SS was oven-dried for 24 hours at 105 °C and sieved through U.S. 3/8" (9.5 mm) sieve. The oven-dried sample was weighed and placed into the oven. The required amount of asphalt binder (PG 64-22) was calculated, weighed and put into the oven together with all the metal equipment that was used during hot mixing (like spoons, buckets, etc). The binder, slag and equipment were heated to 170 °C and kept in the oven for 3.5 hours. The heated equipment was placed into the mixer, and SS samples were taken out from the oven to be thoroughly mixed for approximately 1-2 minutes (Figure 2.1a). The heated binder was then taken from the oven and poured into the mixer. The bitumen and SS were thoroughly mixed until all of the particles were visibly coated and the mixture was warm. Finally, the bitumen-covered SS samples were spread on a flat surface and left overnight to cool completely (Figure 2.1b).

Table 2.1. Physical properties of the steel slag, water treatment residual and sandy soil.

Material	G_s	Gravel Content (%)	Sand Content (%)	Fines Content (%)	I_p (%)	w_n (%)	w_{opt} (%)	$\gamma_{dry-max}$ pcf (kN/m ³)
Steel Slag (6MS)	3.46	35	53	12	NP	6	10	151.6 (23.9)
Steel Slag (1YS)	3.45	21	69	10	NP	9	11	142.9 (22.5)
Steel Slag (2YS)	3.45	21	67	12	NP	10	13.5	141.2 (22.2)
Water Treatment Residual (WTR)	1.88	0	24	76	NP	385	42	65.3 (10.3)
Sandy Soil (Borrow Material)	2.60	0	89	11	NP	5	11	122.2 (19.2)

Note: I_p : plasticity index, G_s : specific gravity NP: non-plastic, w_n : natural water content, w_{opt} : optimum moisture content, $\gamma_{dry-max}$: maximum dry unit weight.

2.3.2 Volumetric Expansion Tests

In accelerated swelling tests, compacted steel slag samples were exposed to hot water or steam in order to accelerate the swelling rate, and the swelling process was monitored for a shorter period of time compared to ASTM D1883 long-term swelling tests. For both tests, steel slag specimens were prepared at optimum water contents in cylindrical molds, lateral movement was prevented and one-dimensional swelling was measured.

Tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D4792. Samples were compacted in standard CBR-molds, which were equipped with dial gauges, and researchers followed the same procedures described in ASTM D1883. Specimens were immersed in hot water ($70\pm 3^\circ\text{C}$). A surcharge load of 2.5 kPa was applied on the specimens. ASTM D4792 recommends a test duration of seven days minimum; however, the accelerated swelling tests were generally continued beyond seven days to ensure a steady-state swelling ratio was reached, i.e., to fully assess both short- and long-term expansion behavior. The test setup is shown in Figure 2.2.

2.4 RESULTS

2.4.1 Index Properties and Compaction Characteristics

Grain-size distribution is one of the most important characteristics of granular materials affecting their mechanical properties. Molten slag solidifies in the slag pits and subsequently breaks down into smaller-size particles during the cooling process. During this natural particle breakdown process, SS particles of varying dimensions, from boulder to silt size, are generated, resulting in a variable gradation with a wide range of particle sizes.

The SS can generally be classified as a coarse-grained material, since it consists of significant percentage of gravel-sized particles (Evans, 2006). Typically, it has a well-graded grain-size distribution, with particle shapes varying from subrounded to angular. In addition, SS particles have very rough surfaces, which results in higher friction angles in comparison with natural soils. In previous studies, friction angles between 40° and 50° were reported for SS (Lee 1974, Noureldin and McDaniel 1990).

In the current study, gradation of the slag was altered during bituminous coating, as well as during sand and WTR amendment. For all three SS mixtures tested, the processing of SS results in a relatively coarser aggregate material, likely providing a stronger but more brittle highway base/subbase layer. Figure 2.3 shows that the gradation curves of the bitumen-coated SS tend to be more uniform compared to original grain size distributions of SS.

As seen in Figure 2.3, all SS specimens have fine particle contents between 10 and 12%, consistent with the values reported by Barra et al. (2001) and Rohde et al. (2003). The fine-grained nature of the WTR also increases the total fines content of the WTR-slag mixtures. A similar trend was observed when slag was mixed with a sandy soil. Sandy soil included a larger amount of sand-sized particles compared with steel slag, which resulted in a finer grain-size distribution as compared to steel slag.

Due to its high specific gravity ($G_s > 3.0$) and well-graded gradation, the reported γ_{dmax} of SS is higher than that of a natural aggregate. Rohde et al. (2003) conducted standard Proctor compaction tests on EAF slag samples with different gradations; both regular (single-peak) and irregular compaction curves were observed for different gradations of EAF slags. The optimum



(a) (b)
Figure 2.1 (a) Bitumen coating procedure and (b) bitumen coated samples



Figure 2.2. ASTM D4792 Accelerated swell test set-up




Figure 2.3. Grain size distributions of pure, bitumen-coated, and WTR or sand-amended steel slag aged for (a) 6 months, (b) 1 year, and (c) 2 years.

moisture content and maximum dry unit weight of EAF slag samples were in the range of 3-6% and 23-26 kN/m³, respectively. Andreas et al. (2005) presented a standard Proctor compaction curve on a ladle slag and EAF slag mixture that contained 35% EAF slag by weight. A single peak compaction curve, with a $\gamma_{dry-max} = 22$ kN/m³ and $w_{opt} = 13\%$, was reported. The Pennsylvania Department of Transportation studied the compaction characteristics of granulated blast furnace slags and observed oddly-shaped curves with double peaks (Lee and Suedkamp 1972, Lee 1976). In addition, the fine particle content of SS was reported to have a significant influence on the compaction behavior of the slag.




The optimum moisture content (w_{opt}) and maximum dry density ($\gamma_{dry-max}$) of the pure steel slag materials used in this study are provided in Table 2.2. These values are consistent with the compaction characteristics of typical SS reported by others (Rohde et al. 2003, Deniz et al. 2010). A BC coating results in a 2-3% decrease in w_{opt} of all the S-BC mixtures compared with pure slag, as the optimum moisture content of the coated granular steel slag is influenced by the amount of fine particles (Figure 2.4). Past literature indicates that coarser materials tend to provide higher dry densities (Holtz and Kovacs, 1981). However, bituminous material (asphalt binder PG 64-22) is a viscous and lightweight material with $G_s = 1.1$; thus, its addition may have caused a decrease in $\gamma_{dry-max}$ of the SS-BC mixtures. Similar trends are also observed for the S-WTR samples. The SS specimens prepared with WTR yielded the lowest maximum dry unit weights in all mixtures and increased the optimum moisture content values from 13.5% to 21% (Table 2.2). WTR contains 76% silty fines and has a specific gravity of 1.88, which is probably the main reason for obtaining lower $\gamma_{dry-max}$ and higher w_{opt} for the SS-WTR mixtures.

2.4.2 Strength Properties

The results of the direct shear tests are given in Table 2.2. Due to various subrounded to angular particle shapes and a rough surface, SS typically yields very high friction angles in comparison to sandy soils. The friction angles and cohesion values obtained for pure SS samples align with the existing values in literature. Yildirim and Prezzi (2009) reported a friction angle of $\sim 50^\circ$ and a cohesion of 50-75 kPa, which is similar to the values of $\phi' = 49^\circ$ and $\phi' = 50^\circ$ reported by Lee (1974) and Noureldin and McDaniel (1990), respectively. As seen in Table 2.2, a bitumen coating and a WTR addition to SS decreases the internal friction angles to $\phi' = 45-46^\circ$ and $\phi' = 36-44^\circ$, respectively. This is probably due to the fact that the addition of bitumen results in rounder particles, and that WTR consists of fine-grained particles that are not angular.

2.4.3 Accelerated Swelling Tests

Figure 2.5 shows the volumetric expansion for the pure SS alone and treated SS specimens. The volume of pure SS increases with time, and the rate of increase declines after 40 days of testing as the hydration of both free lime (CaO) and magnesia (MgO) minerals contributes to swelling (Sorlini et al. 2012). A continuous increase in the swelling ratio of the steel slag after 40 days might be due to hydration of the magnesia (MgO) minerals in SS. The hydration rate of CaO is relatively faster, and it typically takes only a few weeks for CaO minerals to complete their hydration process; for comparison, it takes years for the MgO minerals to complete the hydration process (Emer, 1974, Geiseler 1994, Motz and Geiseler 2001, Juckes 2003, Yildirim and Prezzi 2009).






Figure 2.4 Compaction curves of pure, bitumen-coated and WTR or sand-amended steel Slag, aged for (a) 6 months, (b) 1 year and (c) 2 years (Note: S: steel slag, BC: bituminous coating, WTR: water treatment residual).

Table 2.2. Compaction and shear strength parameters of the materials tested

Sample ID	Internal Friction Angle, ϕ (°)	Cohesion, c kip/ft ² (kPa)	w_{opt} (%)	$\gamma_{dry-max}$ pcf (kN/m ³)
6MS	51	0.84 (40)	10	151.6 (23.9)
1YS	49	0	11	142.9 (22.5)
2YS	49	0.54 (26)	13.5	141.2 (22.2)
6 MS4BC	45	0.54 (26)	9	137.7 (21.6)
1 YS 4BC	46	0.40 (19)	10.5	136.9 (21.5)
2 YS 4BC	46	0.56 (27)	10	133.1 (20.9)
6 MS10WTR	44	0.31 (15)	14	135.8 (21.3)
1 YS10WTR	43	0.25 (12)	13	129.7 (20.4)
2 YS10WTR	42	0	14	129.3 (20.3)
6 MS20WTR	41	0.17 (8)	15	124.6 (19.6)
1 YS 20WTR	39	0	17.5	118.4 (18.6)
2 YS 20WTR	39	0.29 (14)	18	119.8 (18.8)
6 MS30WTR	39	0.33 (16)	19	111.3 (17.5)
1 YS30WTR	37	0.25 (12)	20	105.7 (16.6)
2 YS30WTR	36	0.25 (12)	20	106.7 (16.8)
6MS20SAND	46	0.40 (19)	10	140.9 (22.1)
1YS20SAND	44	0.38 (18)	14	137.1 (21.5)
2YS20SAND	44	0.40 (19)	13	139.5 (21.9)
6MS40SAND	42	0.44 (21)	11	142.0 (22.3)
1YS20SAND	41	0.50 (24)	11	139.4 (21.9)
2YS20SAND	40	0.42 (20)	13	133.7 (21.0)

Note: w_n : natural water content, w_{opt} : optimum moisture content, $\gamma_{dry-max}$: maximum dry unit weight.

The data in Figure 2.5 indicates that the bitumen-coated SS specimens exhibited initial settlements during the early stages of the accelerated swelling tests. Deniz et al. (2010) also found that reclaimed asphalt materials (RAP) that contain SS aggregates exhibited initial settlements before the onset of expansion, and that the porous nature of the RAP materials with lower densities yielded an elastic strain of 0.2-0.3% as soon as a surcharge load was placed. BC coated steel slags used in the current study have a higher porous structure than pure SS and SS-WTR mixtures, since fines in the steel slag were replaced with bituminous materials during the coating process.

The relatively higher porosity of the SS-BC mixtures, and the fact that the lubricant nature of the bitumen dominates the material behavior, could be responsible for the observed instant high settlement rates. However, even though the ultimate swelling amounts of pure SS materials with different aging properties are different, the ultimate swelling ratios of SS-BC samples are almost identical. This shows that bitumen coating covers a significant amount of surface area and prevents Ca leaching. The bitumen coating decreases the infiltration rate of water into the steel slag aggregates, which prevents the hydration of free lime (CaO) and magnesia (MgO), and reduced the swelling of the granular SS-BC mixture. This finding is consistent with previous research studies (Kandahl and Hoffman 1997, Deniz et al. 2010).

A summary of ultimate swelling ratios for all materials is given in Table 2.3. As expected, increasing the sand amount results in a lower ultimate swelling ratio for all SS specimens. Amendment of steel slag with 20- 40% sand by dry weight limits the expansion of all three pure steel slag materials (Figure 2.5). However, this decrease in the ultimate swelling ratios is not related to any chemical reaction. The sand particles replaced some of the SS particles and resulted in lower ultimate swelling.

Aging had mixed effects on the swelling ratios measured in this study. Das et al. (2007) conducted experiments both in the laboratory and situ, which showed that the free lime content decreases to a constant near-zero value after 9 to 12 months of aging. Rohde et al. (2003) performed ASTM D4792 expansion tests on EAF slag and showed that the expansion of a 4 month-aged EAF slag was less than 0.5%, which is the limiting value recommended by ASTM D2940. Even though total elemental analysis (TEA) results suggest that the overall chemical compositions and total Ca content of all three SS mixtures used in the current study are similar (see Table 3.2 in Section 3), varying amounts of Ca released from each mixture yield a significant difference in Ca solubility. Ca containing mineral phases in the fresh steel slag samples may have been transformed over the course of different aging periods into various weathering products (secondary minerals) with different dissolution rates (e.g., calcium-alumina silicates). Nonetheless, the aged SS is still capable of producing extremely alkaline leachates with high concentrations of dissolved Ca (Figure 2.5). Yildirim and Prezzi (2009) also reported that the ultimate swelling ratios for aged BOF slag samples were higher than those of fresh BOF slag samples. The laboratory leaching test results provided in Chapter 3 show that 6MS leaches the highest Ca-concentrations, since Ca was washed away during the earlier aging periods. 2YS slag released more Ca than 1YS, which shows that prolonged aging may have negative effects on the solubility of Ca-containing minerals in SS. These findings agree with the results of the swelling test, where for both 20% and 40% of sand amended mixtures, the maximum swelling was observed in the 6MS sample, whereas the least swelling was measured for the 1YS mixtures (Table 2.3).




Figure 2.5 Swelling curves for of pure, bitumen-coated, and WTR or sand-amended steel slag aged for (a) 6 months, (b) 1 year, and (c) 2 years. (Note: S: steel slag, BC: bituminous coating, WTR: water treatment residual)

Table 2.3 Ultimate swelling ratios of the materials tested

Sample ID	Ultimate Swelling Ratio (%)
6 MS	2.61
1 YS	2.75
2 YS	3.57
6 MS4BC	1.27
1 YS 4BC	0.96
2 YS 4BC	1.27
6 MS10WTR	1.15
1 YS 10WTR	1.11
2 YS 10WTR	1.15
6 MS30WTR	0.97
1 YS 30WTR	0.65
2 YS 30WTR	0.98
6 MS20SAND	2.02
1 YS20SAND	0.76
2 YS20SAND	0.85
6 MS40SAND	0.45
1 YS40SAND	0.15
2 YS40SAND	0.05

The amendment of 10% and 30% WTR by weight decreases the ultimate volumetric expansion ratio of steel slag from 3.57% to 1.15 and 0.98%, respectively (Figure 2.5 and Table 2.3). This indicates that mixing SS with WTR can be used to prevent swelling in highway base/subbase applications. According to Figure 2.5, the expansion rates of the pure SS are lower than those of their corresponding S30WTR mixtures in the first three days, which may be due to the fact that WTR itself exhibits an initial swelling. Wang et al. (2010) claimed that the hydration rate of free lime is initially slow due to the dense, or high calcining, structure of free lime in steel slag byproducts. Wang et al. (2010) also suggested that this phenomenon decreases the rate of reaction that occurs between water and free lime, which ultimately decreases the initial expansion rate. The swelling patterns for the SS-WTR mixtures are in agreement with the ones observed in sand slag mixtures. WTR-treated 6MS exhibits the largest swelling behavior, whereas WTR-treated 1YS has the lowest ultimate swelling ratio. Increasing the amount of WTR reduces the ultimate swelling.

2.5 CONCLUSIONS

A series of accelerated swelling tests and direct shear tests were performed on pure as well as bitumen coated, sand-amended, and water treatment residual (WTR)-mixed steel slag samples. The results can be summarized as follows:

- An increase in the amount of bituminous material decreased the maximum dry unit weight ($\gamma_{dry-max}$) and optimum moisture content (w_{opt}) of the bitumen coated steel slag. Addition of water treatment residual (WTR) to steel slag decreased the $\gamma_{dry-max}$ and increased w_{opt} due to high fines content of the WTR. Addition of sand resulted in a lower $\gamma_{dry-max}$ due to lower specific gravity of sand particles compared with steel slag but no significant change in optimum content was observed.
- All pure steel slag materials as well as mixtures used in this study had excellent shear strength properties based on direct shear tests. Both effective internal friction angle and effective cohesion generally decreased with WTR, bitumen, or sand addition. WTR addition caused the largest decrease in friction angles due to fine nature of the WTR particles.
- The results of the accelerated swelling (volumetric expansion) tests showed that all three methodologies (bituminous coating, sand amendment and WTR amendment) were very efficient in the prevention of significant expansion of the steel slag material. Mixing the steel slag material with 30% WTR by weight decreased the swelling (expansion) rate from 2.95% to approximately 1%. The steel slag materials coated with bituminous material do not exhibit excessive swelling, most likely due to the sealing off of the free lime and magnesia.
- Even though the results of all three methods seem promising for suppression of swelling, additional information on pH is needed. Thus, a series of batch tests were conducted to study the environmental suitability of the treatment methods. pH values obtained from these tests provide a comparative evaluation of the three treatment methods.

SECTION THREE

TRACE METAL LEACHING FROM STEEL SLAG INTO SURFACE WATERS

3.1 INTRODUCTION

As mentioned in Chapter 2, processed steel slag (SS) has favorable mechanical properties, such as good compatibility and high friction angle. However, one key issue that has prevented its use in embankments is the surface water impacts caused by metals in SS leachate. The SS is mainly composed of environmentally benign oxides of calcium, silicon and iron, but it may also contain low concentrations of potential toxic elements, including chromium and vanadium. The high calcium and iron levels create an environment that is generally conducive to sequestering these pollutants; however, as rainwater percolates through an embankment profile, trace elements leach from SS and may reach rivers and streams. Furthermore, pH conditions and pollutant leaching potential are highly influenced by the intended slag application.

As mentioned in Chapter 2, bituminous coating, WTR addition, and sand amendment methods have deemed as successful methods to suppress swelling. A series of pH measurements were conducted on the samples prepared using these three methods, and the results showed that the WTR treatment is the only one that is likely to effective on pH reduction (Figure 3.1). Accordingly, WTR amendment was chosen as the appropriate method for the leaching tests.

Limited information exists on the pollutant characteristics in surface waters during the construction of highway embankments (Boyer 1994, Banks et al. 2006, Mayes et al. 2008). In order to evaluate the metal leaching behavior of WTR-treated steel slag, a series of sequential water leach and column leach tests were conducted. A computer model was developed to study the effluent concentration within a stream adjacent to an embankment.

3.2 MATERIALS

The SS material used in this study was produced via blast oxygen furnace (BOF). Only 2-year-old (2YS) steel slag was used in laboratory tests. Debris and foreign materials were removed by hand before testing.

The water treatment residual (WTR) was collected from the City of Rockville Water Treatment Plant, and air-dried and sieved through U.S. No. 40 sieve before being mixed with steel slag. The measurements conducted, according to EPA Method SW-846 Method 9045, showed that the pH of SS and WTR materials were 12.4 and 6.9, respectively. WTR was added at 10%, 20% and 30% by weight to the SS material.

A clayey, or borrow material, commonly used by SHA was utilized for simulating an encapsulation layer around pure or treated SS materials in embankments. All mixtures prepared in the current study were compacted at their optimum moisture contents (w_{opt}) and maximum dry unit weights ($\gamma_{dry-max}$) using the standard Proctor effort (ASTM D698, 12,400 ft-lbf/ft³). Total elemental analyses (TEA) were performed using an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-EOS) by the University of Wisconsin Soil and Plant Analysis Laboratory on all materials to aid in selecting the metal analytes of interest. Tables 3.1 and 3.2 provide a summary of the physical and chemical properties of the materials used in this study.




Figure 3.1. Results of preliminary batch water leach tests

Table 3.1. Physical properties of the steel slag, water treatment residual, and encapsulation soil.

Material	G_s	I_p (%)	w_n (%)	w_{opt} (%)	$\gamma_{dry-max}$ pcf (kN/m ³)
Steel Slag (S)	3.45	NP	10	13.5	141.2 (22.2)
Water Treatment Residual (WTR)	1.88	NP	385	42	65.3 (10.3)
Encapsulation Soil	2.66	18	17	26	104.4 (16.4)

Note: I_p : plasticity index, G_s : specific gravity NP: non-plastic, w_n : natural water content, w_{opt} : optimum moisture content, $\gamma_{dry-max}$: maximum dry unit weight.

Table 3.2. Elemental composition of the steel slag, water treatment residual, and encapsulation soil

Material	Steel Slag (2YS) (mg/L)	Water Treatment Residual (WTR) (mg/L)	Encapsulation Soil (mg/L)
P	2100	2100	262
K	19,400	2600	2350
Ca	173,000	5100	1940
Mg	50,900	3000	6300
S	617	4700	110
Zn	189	98.1	142
B	41.6	10.4	4.57
Mn	18,100	4300	885
Fe	113,900	22,600	53,700
Cu	19.7	56.4	61.7
Al	10,600	159,700	47,700
Na	299	284	106
Cd	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4
Co	1.90	12.2	29.1
Cr	1300	<0.1	37.0
Mo	1.57	2.41	<0.4
Ni	<0.3	6.18	17.4
Pb	16.0	<2	<2
Li	16.7	24.2	13
As	<3	13	3.24
Se	<3	<3	<3
Ba	68.5	210	98.6
V	500	41.5	138
Ag	<0.6	275	461
Sb	< 0.81	1.79	6.13
Si	457	516	847

3.3 METHODS

3.3.1 Sequential Water Leach Tests (SWLT)

Sequential batch water leach tests (SWLT) were conducted on pure SS and SS-WTR mixtures in accordance with ASTM D3987 with slight modifications mentioned below. Pure SS and pure WTR were also tested as control materials. The specimens were prepared at a liquid-to-solid ratio (L:S) of 20:1. All materials were air-dried and sieved through the No. 10 (2.0 mm) sieve before use. A 0.02 M NaCl solution was added to 2.5 grams of dry mixtures in 50 mL high-density polyethylene (HDPE) bottles. Next, the solutions were rotated at a rate of 29 rpm at room temperature (24 C°) for 18 hours, in accordance with ASTM D3987. After rotation, the samples were allowed to sit for five minutes and centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 15 minutes. Upon centrifugation, the suspended solids were filtered through the 0.2- μ m pore size, 25 mm diameter membrane disk filters fitted in a 25-mm Easy Pressure syringe filter holder by using a 60-mL plastic syringe. The pH and electrical conductivity (EC) measurements were conducted, and the volume of the filtrated solution was measured.


To simulate the flow of leachate through an encapsulating clay layer, the leachate solution from the first water leach test was put into a centrifuge tube and dry clay was added to maintain an L:S of 20:1. The samples were rotated for another 18 hours and the steps mentioned above were repeated. After the pH and EC measurements were taken, the samples were acidified to pH <2 with 2% HNO₃. Before use, all equipment (centrifuge tubes, filter holders syringe, etc.) were washed with 2% HNO₃ acid solutions and rinsed with deionized (DI) water. All samples were stored at 4 C° for chemical analysis. Duplicate SWLTs were conducted on all mixtures.

3.3.2 Sequential Column Leach Tests (SCLT)


In order to simulate the encapsulation of pure or treated SS materials in a clayey soil, sequential column leach tests (SCLT) were conducted. In these tests, the effluent tubing of the first column, which contains pure or treated SS materials, is connected to the second column that houses the encapsulating clay layer. Effluent samples were collected from both columns in order to compare the pH, EC and metal concentrations. The SCLT setup is shown in Figure 3.2 and the conceptual flow model is given in Figure 3.3.

The SCLTs were conducted on pure SS and SS-WTR mixtures. All specimens were compacted at their optimum moisture contents in a PVC mold with a 4-inch (101.6 mm) diameter and 4.6-inch (116.4 mm) height using standard Proctor effort (ASTM D698, 12,400 ft-lbf/ft³). In order to increase the total solid surface area in the solid matrix, air-dried steel slag was sieved from a 3/8-inch (9.5 mm) sieve to remove larger particles. PVC molds were preferred because it minimizes the outside effects on effluent metal concentrations.

The columns were operated in an upflow mode using a peristaltic pump on the influent line. The polypropylene (PP) influent lines were connected to a polyethylene reservoir tank, which was filled with the 0.02 M NaCl solution. On the effluent end of the column, polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tubing transferred the effluent solution into the collection bottle. An inflow rate of 15 mL/hr was used due to the low permeability of the encapsulating clay layer ($K = 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$ cm/s).



(a)



(b)

Figure 3.2. (a) Photo, and (b) sketch of the sequential column leach test set-up.




Figure 3.3. Conceptual model to analyze the flow of steel slag into surface waters.

A 0.02 M NaCl solution, prepared with ASTM Type II water (resistivity greater than 1 megaohm-cm; ASTM D1193) was used to provide an influent with an ionic strength comparable to that of groundwater percolating through the embankment (Morar et al. 2012). The leachate samples were taken daily throughout the test. The sample's pH and electrical conductivity measurements were recorded immediately after the sample collection. The suspended solids were filtered through the 0.2- μ m pore size, 25-mm diameter membrane disk filters fitted in a 25-mm Easy Pressure syringe filter holder by using a 60-mL plastic syringe. A series of falling-head hydraulic conductivity tests were conducted on the specimens before dismantling the columns.

3.3.3 Chemical Analysis

The total elemental analyses (TEA) method covers a digestion process and analysis of pure and treated SS samples for major and minor element contents. The digestion process began by weighing the sample in a 50-mL glass digestion tube. Five mL of concentrated HNO₃ (trace element grade) was added per tube, and the tubes were loosely capped and placed on a digestion block that was heated to 1200° C. The SS and encapsulation clay samples were digested for 15 to 16 hours at 1200° C and then removed from the block. After cooling, 1mL of H₂O₂ was added to each tube, and the tubes were put back on the block for 30 minutes. The last step was repeated twice and the samples were then removed from the block and allowed to cool down. The sample volume was brought to 50 mL, mixed and allowed to sit for three hours before the chemical analysis.

The concentration of all metals for TEA and the ones in SWLT/SCLT leachates were determined using a Varian Vista-MPX CCD Simultaneous ICP-OES (Thermo Jarrell Ash IRIS Advantage Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometer). All sampling equipment that contacted the leachate samples was acid-cleaned, dried and stored in clean, sealed bags. A reagent blank was tested every 20 samples and a spiked sample was analyzed every 10 samples for calibration. Minimum detection limits (MDLs) for ICP-OES were determined for each metal, and a set of calibration standards, according to the U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Title 40, was followed.

SWLT/SCLT leachates were analyzed for Al, As, B, Ba, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Li, Mn, Ni, Pb, and V. The metals selected for further discussion were Al, Cr, and As. These three metals were selected based on TEA (presented in Table 3.2), and due to their elevated concentrations in SWLT/SCLTs, their potential risk to the environment and human health as well as their documented mobilities in groundwater (Fallman and Aurell, 2000, Lim et al. 2004, Jankowski et al. 2006, Komonweeraket et al. 2010). In humans and animals, sustained exposure to high levels of Al can cause bone abnormalities. Cases of adverse respiratory tract effects, such as asthma, neuropsychiatric symptoms, like loss of coordination and loss of memory (Alzheimer's disease), and problems with balance due to Al overexposure have also been reported in humans (U.S. FDA 2000, Healy et al. 2001, Krewski et al. 2007, Shaw and Tomljenovic 2013). Aluminum (Al) easily transits from solid to liquid phase at low pH values, and is quickly mobilized by acid rain, which results in its accumulation in plants and natural water systems. In plants, soluble Al can inhibit cell division and produce chromosomal aberrations and color changes due to phosphate deficiency and crop decrease (Manna and Parida 1965, Barabasz et al. 2002, ATSDR 2008). Chromium (III) (Cr³⁺) is nontoxic and provides necessary nutrition metal for plants and animals (Quina et al. 2009), whereas Cr (VI) is a toxic Cr species, an acute irritant for living cells and can be carcinogenic to humans from inhalation (Whalley et al. 1999). Arsenic (As),

which is found in several different chemical forms and oxidation states, causes acute and chronic adverse health effects including cancer (Hughes 2002). Arsenic is toxic to the majority of organ systems, the most sensitive target organ being the kidney (Cohen et al. 2006). While chronic arsenic exposure affects the vascular system and causes hypertension and cardiovascular disease, acute arsenic toxicity may cause cardiomyopathy and hypotension (Jomova et al. 2010).

3.3.4 Modeling of Contaminant Transport in Surface Waters

In the case of steel slag-amended embankments, the contamination of surface waters like rivers and streams is of concern. Usually, computer programs designed to model the flow of groundwater through multiple soil layers ignore the surface runoff that may occur at the embankment surface and instead assume that the entire precipitated water infiltrates through the pavement structure and soil vadose zone.

Analytical solutions of the advection-dispersion equation (ADE) and related models are necessary to simulate contaminant transport processes in streams and rivers. The ADE distinguishes two transport modes: advective transport as a result of passive movement along with water, and dispersive/diffusive transport to account for diffusion and small-scale variations in the flow velocity, as well as any other processes that contribute to solute spreading.

Van Genuchten (2013) developed one- and multi-dimensional solutions for the advection-dispersion equation to define advective, longitudinal transports and lateral dispersion. These solutions were utilized to develop a numerical model, named UMDSurf herein, to estimate the concentration distributions as a function of distance.

For one-dimensional transport, the solute flux J_s can be written as;

$$J_s = uC - D_x \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \quad (1)$$

where u is the longitudinal fluid flow velocity, C is the solute concentration expressed as mass per unit volume of water, D_x is the longitudinal dispersion coefficient accounting for the combined effects of ionic or molecular diffusion and hydrodynamic dispersion, and x is the longitudinal coordinate.

The mass balance equation is then formulated by considering the accumulation of the solute in a control volume over time as a result of the divergence of the flux (i.e., net inflow or outflow):

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times J_s - R_s + R_w C_e \quad (2)$$

where t is time and R_s represents arbitrary sinks or sources of solute; i.e. $R_s < 0$ means consumption, whereas $R_s > 0$ means the feeding of solute, while the last term denotes injection (> 0) or pumping (< 0) of water with constituent concentration C_e at a rate R_w . If these two last terms are ignored, the generally used ADE is obtained using the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D_x \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}. \quad (3)$$

However, natural processes such as biodegradation or inactivation, radioactive decay and production may affect the concentration of contaminants, and can all be included in the sink/source term, R_s , in Eq. (2). As long as this term is described in terms of linear processes, the transport problem can still be solved analytically. For a case involving one or several sets of zero- and first-order rate expressions, the governing equation can be represented in the following form, where μ is a general first-order decay rate, and γ is a zero-order production term:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D_x \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} - \mu C + \gamma. \quad (4)$$

In this study, a numerical one-dimensional solution of ADE with a third type inlet condition is used as ($\omega=1$). The initial and boundary conditions are given below:

$$C(x, 0) = f(x) \quad (5)$$

$$\left(uC - \omega D_x \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right)_{x=0^+} = ug(t) \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial x}(\infty, t) = 0 \text{ or } \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}(L, t) = 0 \quad (7)$$

There is a semi-infinite domain with uniform initial concentration, $f(x) = C_i$, and no production or decay is assumed to exist. The inlet concentration function, $g(t)$, is of the pulse type (a Heaviside step function) with the constant concentration C_o , and is written as follows:

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} C_o, & 0 < t \leq t_0 \\ 0, & t \geq t_0 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The solution of Equation 4 is given below:

$$C(x, t) = \begin{cases} C_i + (C_o - C_i)A(x, t), & 0 < t \leq t_0 \\ C_i + (C_o - C_i)A(x, t) - C_o A(x, t - t_0), & t \geq t_0 \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Where

$$A(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \left[\frac{x - ut}{\sqrt{4D_x t}} \right] + \sqrt{\frac{u^2 t}{\pi D_x}} \exp \left[-\frac{(x - ut)^2}{4D_x t} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{ux}{D_x} + \frac{u^2 t}{D_x} \right) \exp \left(\frac{ux}{D_x} \right) \operatorname{erfc} \left[\frac{x + ut}{\sqrt{4D_x t}} \right] \quad (10)$$

3.4 RESULTS

3.4.1 Sequential Water Leach Tests (SWLT)

Duplicate sequential batch water leach tests (SWLT) were conducted on steel slag (SS), water treatment residual (WTR) and their mixtures. The leachates collected from the specimens were introduced into the encapsulation clay, and the tests were run for another 18 hours to simulate the flow of leachate through the encapsulation clay. Table 3.3 summarizes the pH values and the leached metal concentrations.

Figure 3.4 shows that the addition of WTR decreases pH significantly; however, the rate of decrease drops with increasing WTR amounts. It is speculated that the WTR addition decreases the release of free lime (CaO), hydrated calcium silicate (C-S-H) and portlandite Ca(OH)_2 from the steel slag, resulting in a decrease in pH. The WTR used in this study is an aluminum-based material and, thus, the reduction in Ca releases for the WTR-treated steel slag might be due to the formation of less soluble secondary mineral phases as a result of excess aluminum in the solution. As stated by Juenger et al. (2006) and Ozkok et al. (2014), the formation of an aluminosilicate layer may have encapsulated the slag particles and hindered CaO hydration.

The data in Table 3.3 show that the presence of an encapsulation layer can significantly influence the pH due to high adsorption and the buffering capacity of the clayey soils. Buffering capacity is the capacity of a soil to adsorb and/or desorb H^+ and OH^- ions. This means a soil can act as an acid or base, and thus show a resistance to pH changes. The buffer capacity is determined experimentally by measuring the pH change when a strong base or acid is added to a solution. Clay particles have a very large surface area compared to silt particles (Sparks 1996). This relatively large surface area increases the contact time and influences the buffering effect, which ultimately reduces the pH and the concentration of the most elements, including Cr and Se (Sauer et al. 2012). Liu et al. (2008) conducted column-leaching tests on several different types of soils and showed that clayey soils are likely to provide the largest buffering capacity for pH.

Table 3.3 shows the concentrations of thirteen metals for pure SS, the SS-WTR mixture and the SS-WTR-encapsulation clay system, along with the U.S. EPA maximum concentration limits for drinking waters (MCL), EPA water quality limits (WQL) are to ensure protection of aquatic life and human health, and Maryland aquatic toxicity limits (ATL) regulate fresh water. The results show that, with an exception for Al, higher metal concentrations were observed for pure SS than its mixtures with WTR and/or encapsulation clay. All metal concentrations in leachates past through the SS-WTR-encapsulation clay system are below the MCL, WQL and Maryland ATL. The variation in concentrations of Al, Cr and As is plotted against WTR content in Figure 3.5. For Al and Cr, increasing WTR content results in decreased metal concentrations, and As concentrations remain below detection limits for all mixtures.

The rate of decrease in metal concentrations, however, is different without a recognizably consistent variation, which is partially due to differences in metal concentrations based on total elemental analysis (Table 3.2). The decrease in metal concentrations is not linear with increasing WTR content, even though the mass of metals in soil mixture decreases approximately linearly with increasing WTR content. Therefore, the use of linear dilution calculations will underestimate the resulting metal concentrations leached from soil mixtures.




Figure 3.4. Effect of WTR content and presence of encapsulation clay on pH of the slag mixtures. Note: SWTR designate the specimens prepared with different WTR percentages whereas SWTR-ENC designates specimens that are prepared by mixing encapsulation clay and effluent leachates from SWTR mixtures.

Table 3.3. Aqueous metal concentrations in SWLTs. Concentrations exceeding MCLs in bold.

Sample	WTR Content	pH	Al (mg/L)	As (µg/L)	B (µg/L)	Ba (µg/L)	Cd (µg/L)	Co (µg/L)	Cr (µg/L)	Cu (µg/L)	Li (µg/L)	Mn (µg/L)	Ni (µg/L)	Pb (µg/L)	V (µg/L)
S-ENC	0	11.9	3.66	<10	36	35	<4	<3	51.95	<5	<2	59	45	385	25
S10WTR-ENC	10	9.94	14.7	<10	51	35	<4	<3	17.15	<5	<2	21	42	140	13
S20WTR-ENC	20	9.75	9.08	<10	35	37	<4	<3	15.8	<5	<2	23	35	120	10
S30WTR-ENC	30	8.68	0.91	<10	20	45	<4	<3	13	<5	<2	30	34	112	10
WTR-ENC	100	6.01	<0.05	<10	5	170	<4	<3	<1	<5	<2	2810	30	136	13
U.S .EPA MCL			0.2	10	NA	2000	5	NA	100	1300	NA	50	NA	15	NA
U.S .EPA WQL			0.75	340	NA	NA	2	NA	570	13	NA	NA	470	65	NA
MD ATL			NA	NA	NA	NA	2	NA	570	13	NA	NA	470	65	NA
MDL			0.05	10	5	10	4	3	1	5	2	1	10	5	10

Notes: MCL= maximum contaminant levels for drinking water; MCL for Al is based on a secondary non-enforceable drinking water regulation; WQL= water quality limits for protection of aquatic life and human health in fresh water. MD ATL = Maryland State aquatic toxicity limits for fresh water; NA=Not available; MDL= minimum detection limit.




Figure 3.5. Effect of WTR content on sequential water leach test concentrations of a) aluminum (Al), b) chromium (Cr), and c) arsenic (As). 0% and 100% WTR content corresponds to pure SS and pure WTR specimens, respectively.

The effluent concentrations of aluminum (Al) are lower for the pure SS than the SS-WTR mixtures. This is due to very high aluminum content and a high pH. The solubility of Al is minimum at a pH of 6.5-7.0 and increases under alkaline conditions (Lim et al. 2004, Komonweeraket et al. 2010). The data in Figure 3.5 shows that the Al concentrations decrease even as the WTR amount is increased, since effluent pH decreases with increasing WTR content and Al remains insoluble.

Chromium (Cr) concentrations within the leachate decrease with the addition of WTR. As seen in Table 3.2., SS contains a significant amount of Cr, whereas the amount in WTR is negligible (1,300 mg/L versus <0.1 mg/L). However, the decrease in Cr concentrations is not linear due to a variation in pH. The solubility of Cr is highly dependent on the pH of the aqueous solution. Cr mobility is very low at a neutral pH, but increases significantly at both acidic and basic conditions (Sparks, 2003).

3.4.2 Column Leach Tests (CLT)

Figure 3.6 shows the temporal characteristics of effluent pH of the pure SS and the SS-WTR mixtures, as well as the effluent pH after leaching through the encapsulation clay material. Considering the low hydraulic conductivity of the clay material ($K = 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$ cm/s) compared with that of the pure SS ($K = 9.3 \times 10^{-4}$ cm/s) and SS-WTR mixtures ($K = 3 \times 10^{-6}$ cm/s), all tests were continued until a minimum of 20 pore volumes (PV) of flow were obtained to examine the behavior and persistency of pH. Even though the pH levels of the influent solutions were kept between 6 and 6.5, the stabilized pH levels of the effluent solutions of pure or treated SS were significantly high (pH>11).

Pure steel slag has the highest pH (~12.6) and decreases with increasing WTR amounts (Figure 3.6). Similar to the observations made in SWLTs, the addition of WTR appears to have a significant effect on pH, and further decreases in pH can be obtained when the leachate passes through the encapsulating clay layer. These results also show that the clay reduces the system pH, regardless of the WTR percentage in the mixture, mainly due to the high buffering capacity of the clayey soils. It is speculated that the addition of WTR might have resulted in a decrease in pH, due to the formation of ettringite by coating the particles and preventing Ca^{2+} leaching. The elution curves for Ca^{2+} , a significant indicator of change in pH, are given in Figure 3.7.

Table 3.4 shows that concentrations of some of the metals that leach from pure SS or SS-WTR mixtures exceed the groundwater quality limits set by EPA, but the concentrations decrease significantly after leachate percolates through the encapsulating clay layer. The leaching mechanisms are further discussed below in detail.

Figure 3.8 shows a series of CLT elution curves for Al, Cr and As. Similar trends were observed for the remaining metals. Leaching of the Cr and As exhibits a first-flush leaching pattern, followed by stabilized concentrations. The first-flush pattern occurs due to release of metals from the water-soluble fraction, as well as from the sites with low adsorption energies (Bin-Shafique et al. 2006, Morar 2008). Sauer et al. (2012) reported that materials with a higher CaO content were more likely to exhibit a first-flush leaching pattern. The relatively high Ca content in SS (173,000 mg/L, Table 3.2) may be responsible for the first-flush behavior observed in the current study.

Al exhibits an amphoteric pattern, i.e., higher leaching concentrations at extreme pH levels and lower concentrations at a near-neutral pH level (Langmuir 1997, Kenkel 2003). A mixed trend in Al leaching is observed with the WTR amendment to SS due to relatively higher

amounts of Al in the WTR (159,700 mg/L versus 10,600 mg/L, Table 3.2). The WTR addition decreases the effluent pH below 12; however, large amounts of WTR (about 80% of total composition based on TEA) contribute to higher leached Al concentrations. The data in Table 3.5 show that the Al concentrations are elevated with an increase in WTR content; however, the concentrations decrease below detection limits when the effluent solution passes through the encapsulation clay layer and the pH drops below 7.5. This agrees with the results of other studies that showed Al leaching is the lowest at a neutral pH and is the highest under very alkaline conditions (Lim et al. 2004, Komonweeraket et al. 2010). Sparks (2003) also showed that aluminum is very insoluble at a neutral pH, and its solubility is controlled by dissolution-precipitation oxide and hydroxide minerals. Fallman and Aurell (2000) performed CLTs and field lysimeter tests on SS and reported that the field Al concentrations were significantly lower than those in column tests, due to lower pH levels measured in the field. Fallman and Aurell (1994) also reported that a pH change of approximately four units between column and lysimeter tests, resulting in a 100 times decrease in Al concentrations.

Figure 3.8 shows that a decrease in the initial Cr metal concentrations occurs with an increasing WTR content. The solubility of Cr is highly dependent on the pH of the aqueous solution; i.e., Cr concentration is very low at a neutral pH, but increases significantly under very acidic and basic conditions. As seen in Table 3.4, the high pH of the SS leachate (pH ~12.6) causes an increase in peak Cr concentrations. Fallman (2000) performed a study to determine the controlling mechanism for chromium leaching from SS and showed that there are interdependencies between concentrations of barium, chromium, sulphur and calcium. Fruchter et al. (1990) indicated that aqueous concentrations of chromate (Cr^{6+}) is controlled by solid solutions of barium sulphate (BaSO_4) and solid solutions of $\text{Ba}(\text{S,Cr})\text{O}_4$. However, none of these are reported to exist as primary minerals in steel slag. No testing was conducted to identify the oxidation state of Cr speciation in the CLT leachates collected in the current study; however, Chaurand et al. (2007) claimed that Cr generally appears as Cr^{3+} in steel slag leachates due to the absence of pre-edge peak in the spectra, or an indicator of hexavalent chromium, in the X-ray absorption near edge structure spectroscopy analysis (XANES). Pourbaix diagrams for the Cr-O-H system indicate that the Cr measured in WLT and CLT leachates is likely to exist as $\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3$ or $\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_4^-$ for the pH conditions of between 7 and 12.5 present in the current study (Stumm and Morgan, 1996). This oxyanionic species cannot be adsorbed and is soluble; thus, Cr concentrations of the pure SS leachate are higher than the SS-WTR mixtures. Increasing WTR content also decreases the pH; thus, Cr^{3+} exists in an amorphous $\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3$ form, and the Cr concentration for both the effluent of slag-WTR mixture and the effluent passing through the encapsulation clay are below the detection limits.

Jankowski et al. (2006) and Komonweeraket et al. (2010) mentioned in their studies that a change in pH from neutral to alkaline conditions also increases the As concentrations. The pH-dependency of As observed in the current study agrees with these findings, and can be seen in Table 3.4. The leaching of As also tends to show an amphoteric leaching pattern and has a high affinity to exist in its anionic forms, such as HAsO_4^{2-} or HAsO_3^- (Narukawa et al. 2005, Ettler et al. 2009). At near-neutral pH conditions (pH=6-7.5), leaching of As is minimal due to the maximum adsorption of As metals onto soil surfaces. Moreover, Kim et al. (2009) and Pandey et al. (2011) showed that As adsorption onto metal oxide minerals is very likely to occur at neutral pH levels. Fe-oxides have a strong affinity for the As species, due to a rapid adsorption reaction between As and Fe-oxides (Sadiq et al. 2002). Aluminum and iron oxides may have coated the surface of steel slag grains in the current study, reducing the leaching of trace metals at




Figure 3.6. Effluent pH in CLTs.




Figure 3.7. Elution curves for Ca^{2+} .

Table 3.4. Peak effluent concentrations in SCLTs. Concentrations exceeding MCLs in bold.

Sample	WTR Content	pH	Al (mg/L)	As (µg/L)	B (µg/L)	Ba (µg/L)	Cd (µg/L)	Co (µg/L)	Cr (µg/L)	Cu (µg/L)	Li (µg/L)	Mn (µg/L)	Ni (µg/L)	Pb (µg/L)	V (µg/L)
S	0	12.59	0.6	170	410	1000	<4	<3	73	14	230	1.4	<10	22	30
S10WTR	10	12.23	173	146	290	840	<4	<3	18	58	81	6	15	15	30
S20WTR	20	11.51	213	102	175	630	<4	<3	15	36	48	11	30	11	25
S30WTR	30	11.10	240	70	73	120	<4	<3	3	100	20	35	40	8	20
S10WTR-ENC	10	7.30	0.70	<10	42	1081	<4	113	<1	<5	<2	23,000	60	<5	20
S20WTR-ENC	20	7.28	0.85	<10	40	1042	<4	109	<1	<5	<2	22,400	65	<5	20
S30WTR-ENC	30	7.23	0.81	<10	40	1070	<4	92	<1	<5	<2	23,500	60	<5	20
U.S .EPA MCL			0.2	10	NA	2000	5	NA	100	1300	NA	50	NA	15	NA
U.S .EPA WQL			0.75	340	NA	NA	2	NA	570	13	NA	NA	470	65	NA
MD ATL			NA	NA	NA	NA	2	NA	570	13	NA	NA	470	65	NA
MDL			0.05	10	5	10	4	3	1	5	2	1	10	5	10

Notes: MCL= maximum contaminant levels for drinking water; MCL for Al is based on a secondary non-enforceable drinking water regulation; WQL= water quality limits for protection of aquatic life and human health in fresh water. MD ATL = Maryland State aquatic toxicity limits for fresh water; NA=Not available; MDL= minimum detection limit.

Table 3.5. Stabilized effluent concentrations in SCLTs. Concentrations exceeding MCLs in bold.

Sample	WTR Content	pH	Al (mg/L)	As (µg/L)	B (µg/L)	Ba (µg/L)	Cd (µg/L)	Co (µg/L)	Cr (µg/L)	Cu (µg/L)	Li (µg/L)	Mn (µg/L)	Ni (µg/L)	Pb (µg/L)	V (µg/L)
S	0	12.59	0.43	70	410	530	<4	<3	15	10	160	0.8	<10	21	10
S10WTR	10	12.23	89	41	270	400	<4	<3	5	10	35	1.5	<10	13	10
S20WTR	20	11.51	116	36	170	240	<4	<3	5	10	20	2	<10	10	10
S30WTR	30	11.10	188	10	70	90	<4	<3	3	10	10	5	<10	8	10
S10WTR-ENC	10	7.30	<0.05	<10	30	420	<4	10	<1	<5	<2	6,580	<10	<5	10
S20WTR-ENC	20	7.28	<0.05	<10	30	410	<4	10	<1	<5	<2	6,650	<10	<5	10
S30WTR-ENC	30	7.23	<0.05	<10	30	400	<4	15	<1	<5	<2	6,500	<10	<5	10
U.S .EPA MCL			0.2	10	NA	2000	5	NA	100	1300	NA	50	NA	15	NA
U.S .EPA WQL			0.75	340	NA	NA	2	NA	570	13	NA	NA	470	65	NA
MD ATL			NA	NA	NA	NA	2	NA	570	13	NA	NA	470	65	NA
MDL			0.05	10	5	10	4	3	1	5	2	1	10	5	10

Notes: MCL= maximum contaminant levels for drinking water; MCL for Al is based on a secondary non-enforceable drinking water regulation; WQL= water quality limits for protection of aquatic life and human health in fresh water. MD ATL = Maryland State aquatic toxicity limits for fresh water; NA=Not available; MDL= minimum detection limit.




Figure 3.8. CLT elution curves for a) aluminum, b) chromium, c) arsenic.

pH=6.8-7.0 when the leachate flowed through the encapsulation layer. Van der Hoek and Comans (1996) reported an over 100 times decrease in As concentrations when the pH was changed from 12 to 6 and showed that As leaching was controlled by adsorption onto hydrous ferric oxides (HFO).

A chemical equilibrium modeling software, Visual MINTEQ v3.0, was utilized for estimating CaCO_3 precipitation in slag leachates containing different Ca^{2+} concentrations. Input Ca concentrations were determined based on the measured dissolved Ca^{2+} concentrations in the leachates from the column tests. 0.02 M Na^+ and Cl^- (background electrolytes) were entered for all estimations (no atmospheric CO_2 exposure, $T=20^\circ\text{C}$). pH was calculated based on “mass and charge balance”. Equilibrium conditions with the atmospheric CO_2 was assumed and CO_2 pressure was set to 0.00038 atm. Default databases that were available with the original software were used.

Calcium carbonate (CaCO_3) precipitation, also called “tufa formation”, occurs when steel slag leachates containing high concentrations of dissolved calcium are exposed to atmosphere. Calcium carbonate is a sparingly soluble compound and over time thick layers of calcium carbonate may result in clogging of the drainage system. The estimated amount of CaCO_3 precipitation for the effluent leachates are given in Table 3.6.

3.4.3 Chemical Transport Modeling

Figure 3.9 presents the UMDSurf-predicted concentrations of aluminum, chromium and arsenic in the stream after 20, 50, 100, 200, 500 and 1000 seconds. The results are obtained based on the assumptions of an instantaneous injection ($t=10$ s) of 2.2 lbs (1 kg) solute in the main channel of a river having a cross-section of 107 ft^2 (10 m^2), an average flow velocity of 3.2 ft/s (1 m/s) and a dispersion coefficient of $54\text{ ft}^2/\text{s}$ ($5\text{ m}^2/\text{s}$) per recommendations of De Smedt et al. (2005) and van Genuchten (2013). The maximum concentrations obtained by the column tests are used as the input concentration at $t=0$ sec.

The variation of Al, Cr and As concentrations at different horizontal distances from the point of contact, the corner of the embankment, is presented in Figure 3.9. As expected, metal concentrations decrease significantly with time and distance from the surface of the SS-WTR-encapsulation clay system. For all cases, at 20 m horizontal distance from the corner of the embankment, the concentrations decrease to 50% of the initial concentration. Moreover, concentrations of all metals are lower than the EPA WQLs at all locations.

For pure SS, Al concentrations at 1000 m away from the corner of the embankment decrease to $13\text{ }\mu\text{g/L}$, which is significantly lower than the EPA MCL limit ($200\text{ }\mu\text{g/L}$). For steel slag leachate, EPA MCL can be achieved at 50 m, whereas the leachate of S30WTR percolating through encapsulation layer decreases to the EPA MCL at 80 m from the corner of the embankment. The data in Figure 3.9 also suggests that the first-flush effect is minimized by the addition of WTR to SS, with the exception of aluminum due to very high Al content of the WTR material itself. Encapsulation of the embankment with a clayey soil (borrow material) decreases the concentrations to acceptable limits in surface waters.

Table 3.6. Estimation of CaCO₃ precipitation for the effluent leachates

Sample	Peak Ca ²⁺ - concentration (mg/L)	Estimated Peak CaCO ₃ Precipitation (mg/L)	Stabilized Ca ²⁺ - concentration (mg/L)	Estimated Stabilized CaCO ₃ Precipitation (mg/L)
S	848	2050	796	1920
S30WTR	341	782	284	639
S30WTR- ENC	189	402	10	0

Note: S=Steel slag; WTR=water treatment residual; ENC= encapsulation clay. Calcium carbonate precipitation was estimated based on measured Ca²⁺ concentrations using Minteq Visual v3.1






Figure 3.9. Surface water concentrations of a) aluminum, b) chromium, c) arsenic with increasing horizontal distance.

Similar trends can be observed for the Cr concentrations. At 20 m from the corner of the embankment, both the EPA MCL and WQL are satisfied. It should be noted that the rate of decrease increases if the initial concentration is higher. When S30WTR instead of 100% slag is used, the concentrations in surface waters are below the EPA MCL and WQL. The presence of an encapsulation clay layer further decreases the Cr concentrations.

As concentrations in a stream, as expected, will be strongly affected by the treatment and the method of construction. If pure SS is used, the concentrations would decrease to EPA MCL at approximately 500 m from the corner of the embankment, whereas for the SS30WTR mixture, it would take 100 m to reach the same concentration levels. When SS30WTR leachate percolates through the encapsulation layer, As concentrations throughout the length of the stream remain below the EPA MCL.

3.5 CONCLUSIONS

A laboratory study was conducted to investigate the leaching characteristics of pure or WTR-amended steel slag in surface waters near highway embankments. The effects of WTR addition to steel slag, and presence of an encapsulating clay layer on pH and metal concentrations were studied through laboratory leaching tests. The observations from the current study are as follows:

- The results of sequential water leach tests showed that increasing WTR percentage results in a decrease in pH. Flow of this leachate past through an encapsulation clay decreases the pH further.
- Aluminum concentration increased when WTR amount increased from 0 to 10 % due to high alum content of WTR, however, then decreased with increasing WTR percentage due to its amphoteric nature. Chromium concentration decreased with increasing WTR content, whereas As concentration remained below EPA MCLs.
- The results of sequential column leach tests indicated that an increase in WTR decreased the pH; however, WTR percentage did not have a significant effect on final pH values once the leachate percolated through the encapsulation layer.
- Al-concentration increased dramatically with addition of alum-rich WTR but decreased significantly once the leachate past through the encapsulation clay layer. It should be noted that Al is on the EPA list of secondary drinking water regulations, and there are no limits for Al specified in Maryland groundwater protection guidelines. Both Cr and As concentrations were below EPA MCLs after percolating through the encapsulation layer.
- The results of chemical transport model showed that approximately 40 m away from the corner of the embankment, metal concentrations decreased by 50%. At that distance, Al concentrations also remained below the EPA limits.

SECTION FOUR

TRACE METAL LEACHING FROM STEEL SLAG INTO GROUNDWATER

4.1. INTRODUCTION

The objective of this section was to assess SS mixtures' potential impact on groundwater through laboratory tests and computer modeling. The effect of underlying foundations on potential field performance was evaluated through the inclusion of two Maryland subgrades with varying physicochemical characteristics in the testing program.

4.2. MATERIALS

The same steel slag, water treatment residual (WTR) and encapsulation clay (borrow material) employed in the testing described in Section 3 were used. In addition, CL and CL-ML (USCS classification) subgrade soils commonly encountered in Maryland and provided by the SHA were used to simulate the flow of steel slag leachate through subgrade layers. The physical and chemical properties of all materials are summarized in Tables 4.1 and 4.2.

4.3 METHODS

4.3.1 Laboratory Leaching Tests

The procedures listed in Section 3.3.1 for the sequential water leach tests (SWLTs) were followed. To simulate the flow of leachate through subgrade soil, the leachate collected from encapsulating clay was put into another centrifuge tube, subgrade soil was added, and the samples were rotated for another 18 hours. After pH and EC measurements, the samples were acidified to pH <2 with 2% HNO₃. A sketch for the SWLT is given in Figure 4.1. Before use, all equipment (centrifuge tubes, filter holders, syringes, etc.) were washed with 2% HNO₃ acid solutions and rinsed with DI water. All samples were stored at 4 C° before the chemical analysis. Duplicate WLTs were conducted on all mixtures for each soil specimen.

The procedures listed in Section 3.3.2 were followed for the sequential column leach tests (SCLTs), with an exception of the second column being filled with both the encapsulation and subgrade clays. SCLT setup is shown in Figure 4.2, whereas the conceptual model for flow of leachate through the subgrade soil is given in Figure 4.3.

Table 4.1. Physical properties of the steel slag, water treatment residual and encapsulation clay.

Material	G_s	I_p (%)	w_n (%)	w_{opt} (%)	$\gamma_{dry-max}$ pcf (kN/m ³)
Steel Slag (2YS)	3.45	NP	10	13.5	141.2 (22.2)
Water Treatment Residual (WTR)	1.88	NP	385	42	65.25 (10.25)
Encapsulation Soil (CL-CH)	2.66	22	17	26	104.4 (16.4)
Subgrade CL-ML	2.68	6	12	17	114.3 (17.95)
Subgrade Soil CL	2.68	12	10	12	120.7 (19.35)

Note: I_p : plasticity index, G_s : specific gravity NP: non-plastic, w_n : natural water content, w_{opt} : optimum moisture content, $\gamma_{dry-max}$: maximum dry unit weight.

Table 4.2. Chemical properties of the steel slag, water treatment residual and encapsulation clay.

Material	Steel Slag (2YS) (mg/L)	Water Treatment Residual (WTR) (mg/L)	Encapsulation Soil (mg/L)	Soil 1(CL-ML) (mg/L)	Soil 2 (CL) (mg/L)
pH	12.4	6.9	5.9	6.3	3.8
P	2100	2100	262	760	115
K	19400	2600	2350	3040	900
Ca	173000	5100	1940	9300	250
Mg	50900	3000	6300	3000	245
S	617	4700	110	238	99
Zn	189	98.1	142	50.4	26.3
B	41.6	10.4	4.57	<2	<2
Mn	18100	4300	885	893	32
Fe	113900	22600	53700	40300	14700
Cu	19.7	56.4	61.7	44.6	16.2
Al	10600	159700	47700	39000	9050
Na	299	284	106	495	46
Cd	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4
Co	1.90	12.2	29.1	26.9	7.3
Cr	1300	<0.1	37.0	40.5	240.9
Mo	1.57	2.41	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4
Ni	<0.3	6.18	17.4	12.8	10.3
Pb	16.0	<2	<2	4.3	<2
Li	16.7	24.2	13.0	21.9	6.4
As	<3	13.0	3.24	<3	<3
Se	<3	<3	<3	<3	<3
Ba	68.5	210	98.6	141.8	35
V	500	41.5	138	52.9	49
Ag	<0.6	275	461	291	216
Sb	< 0.81	1.79	6.13	2.82	3.06
Si	457	516	847	618	781




Figure 4.1. Sequential Water Leach Test (SWLT) setup




Figure 4.2. Sequential Column Leach Test (SCLT) setup




Figure 4.3. Conceptual model for flow of leachate into groundwater.

The chemical analyses were conducted in conformance with the methodology provided in Section 3.3.3. SWLT/SCLT leachates were analyzed for Aluminum (Al), Arsenic (As), Boron (B), Barium (Ba), Calcium (Ca), Chromium (Cr), Copper (Cu), Lithium (Li), Manganese (Mn), Nickel (Ni), Lead (Pb) and Zinc (Zn). The metals selected for further discussion were Al, Zn, Pb, Cu, Ba and B. These six metals were selected based on the total elemental analyses presented in Table 4.2, due to their elevated concentrations in SWLT/SCLTs, their potential risk to the environment and human health, as well as their documented mobilities in groundwater (Praharaj et al. 2002, Kim 2006, Bankowski et al. 2004, Jankowski et al. 2006, Goswami and Mahanta 2007, Quina et al. 2010, Chavez et al. 2010). In humans, Boron (B) can cause nausea, vomiting, redness of the skin, difficulty swallowing and diarrhea. In animals, acute excessive exposure to B may cause rapid respiration, eye inflammation, swelling of the paws and potential adverse effects to the male reproductive organs (Ischii et al. 1993, Wegman et al. 1994, U.S. EPA 2008). Long-term Barium (Ba) exposure may cause hypertension in humans (Perry et al. 1989, Wones et al. 1990). Exposure to potassium with Ba may cause adverse cardiac and skeletal effects in human body (U.S. EPA 1990). Copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn) metals are listed in the priority list by U.S. EPA. These two metals are soluble, non-biodegradable and can accumulate in animals, plants and humans over an extended period of time (Svilovic et al. 2009 and Elsayed-Ali et al. 2011). The metals also represent different mobilities. For instance, at the pH values typical of pure and WTR-treated steel slag (pH = 10.0–12.5), Aluminum (Al) forms hydroxyl compounds, and their attachment to the soil surface depends on its solubility level (Sparks 2003), whereas Ba and B concentrations mostly depend on the presence of the metal source within the mixture (Bankowski et al. 2004). Lead (Pb) was chosen as the sixth metal because it is a persistent pollutant in solid and aqueous phases, and is considered a major environmental hazard. Lead has been recognized for many adverse health effects, and yet the molecular processes underlying lead toxicity are still poorly understood. Due to the general nature of the symptoms, lead poisoning is quite difficult to diagnose (U.S. EPA 2006). Lead toxicity affects the central and peripheral nervous systems, renal function and the vascular system (Patrick 2012). Arsenic (As) and Chromium (Cr) were not selected for analysis because of their very low aqueous concentrations measured in SCLTs in Section 3.

4.3.2 Modeling of Contaminant Transport in Groundwater

The transport of metals in a highway environment was simulated using WiscLEACH, a numerical model for simulating water and solute movement in two-dimensional variably saturated media (Li et al. 2007, Cetin et al. 2014). Three analytical solutions to the advection-dispersion-reaction equation (ADRE) were combined in WiscLEACH to develop a method for assessing impacts to groundwater caused by leaching of trace elements from steel slags used in highway layers. WiscLEACH simulations were conducted to study the concentration profiles in soil vadose zones and in groundwater (e.g., at the centerline of the pavement structure and at the vicinity of point of compliance). Contours of trace metals were developed at different years as a function of the depth to groundwater, the thickness of the base layer, the percent SS by weight, the hydraulic conductivity of the base layer, the hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer material and the initial concentration of the metal in SS. Input to the model included the annual precipitation rate in Maryland, obtained from the National Weather Service records, the point of compliance and physical properties of the pavement layers, which were selected according to the

Maryland SHA roadway design manual (2004), and transport parameters and hydraulic conductivities, which were determined via laboratory tests conducted in the current study.

WiscLEACH assumes all materials in the profile are homogeneous and isotropic. Precipitation falling on the pavement surface, the shoulders and the surrounding ground either infiltrates into the ground surface or runs off (Li et al. 2007). As water percolates down through the profile, trace elements leach from the steel slag migrate downward through the subgrade soils until they reach the groundwater table. Flow in the slag and subgrade is assumed to occur only in the vertical direction. Steady one dimension (1D) unit gradient flow is assumed to occur in the pavement layers and the vadose zone, with the net infiltration rate controlled by both the least conductive layer in the profile and by the annual precipitation rate. Surface runoff and evaporation are not considered. Infiltration of runoff along the edges of the pavement structure is not considered.

Transport in the vadose zone is assumed to follow ADRE for 1D steady state vertical flow with 2D dispersion and linear, instantaneous and reversible adsorption. Trace elements that reach the groundwater table are transported horizontally and vertically, although the flow of groundwater is assumed to occur predominantly in the horizontal direction. Steady saturated groundwater flow is assumed, and transport in groundwater is assumed to follow ADRE. Chemical and biological reactions that may consume or transform trace elements are assumed to be absent. Further details on WiscLEACH are provided by Li et al. (2007).

4.4.RESULTS

4.4.1 Sequential Water Leach Tests (SWLT)

Table 4.3 shows that adding WTR decreases pH significantly for both CL and CL-ML subgrade soils; however, the rate of decrease reduces with an increasing WTR amount (Figure 4.4). As mentioned in Chapter 3, an increase in WTR amount decreases the release of free lime (CaO), hydrated calcium silicate (C-S-H) and portlandite Ca(OH)_2 from SS. The formation of an aluminosilicate layer, which encapsulated slag particles and hindered CaO hydration, results in a drop in pH.

Figure 4.4 shows that the pH value of the leachate passed through the encapsulation and subgrade soils decreases drastically. Clayey subgrade (CL, $I_p = 12$) consistently provides a lower pH than the silty clay subgrade soil (CL-ML, $I_p = 6$). Sparks (1996), Wise et al. (2000), and Wan Zuhairi et al. (2008) also showed that plastic soils exhibit higher buffering capacities for pH. In addition to its relatively higher plasticity, the lower initial pH of the CL soil (pH 3.8 versus 6.3, Table 4.2) may be the reason for observing lower leachate pHs with this soil during SWLTs. According to the Maryland Soil Map, CL soils are identified as B1 type soils with pH “varying largely from neutral to extremely acidic” (Maryland Department of State Planning 1973). Johnson and Zhang (2004) indicated that different factors, such as rainfall, acidic parent material, organic matter decay or the harvest of high yielding crops may cause a drop in soil pH values.

The data in Table 4.3 shows that Al concentrations increase when the WTR percentage is elevated to 10% by weight due to high Al contents of the WTR itself (159,700 mg/L versus 10,600 mg/L, Table 4.2). Even though the source of metal content increases with WTR addition, Al concentrations decrease when the pH drops from 12 to 4-6 (Figure 4.5). Cetin et al. (2012) and Komonweeraket et al. (2010) also reported a higher release of Al at extreme pHs.





Figure 4.4. Effect of WTR content and flow through subgrade on pH of the leachates past through (a) CL soil, and (b) CL-ML soil. Note: SWTR designate the specimens prepared with different WTR percentages whereas SWTR-CL and SWTR-CLML designate specimens that are prepared by mixing effluent leachates from the SWTR mixtures with subgrade CL and CLML, respectively.

Table 4.3. Aqueous metal concentrations in SWLTs. Concentrations exceeding MCLs in bold.

Sample	WTR Content	pH	Al (mg/L)	Zn (mg/L)	Pb (µg/L)	Cu (µg/L)	Ba (µg/L)	B (µg/L)	As (µg/L)	Cr (µg/L)	Li (µg/L)	Mn (µg/L)	Ni (µg/L)
S-CLML	0	11.93	3.98	2.3	387	80	19	36	<10	46	<2	58.2	39
S10WTR-CLML	10	10.1	13.7	2.02	135	65	12.5	139	<10	25	<2	13.9	37
S20WTR-CLML	20	9.66	7.6	1.71	109	41	21	63	<10	16.4	<2	20.1	32
S30WTR-CLML	30	9.00	3.96	1.16	69	28	30	52	<10	13.7	<2	31.0	29
WTR-CLML	100	6.02	0.3	0.9	136	15	91	4.7	<10	4.2	<2	2755	24
S-CL	0	11.9	4.1	2.25	400	75	17.8	36	<10	44	<2	55	<10
S10WTR-CL	10	10.01	13.6	1.98	110	62	11.5	141	<10	20	<2	9.7	<10
S20WTR-CL	20	9.25	7.1	1.45	63	32	24.6	73	<10	13.8	<2	13.6	<10
S30WTR-CL	30	7.18	0.5	0.82	45	8	50.4	45	<10	8.7	<2	29.3	<10
WTR-CL	100	4.33	0.01	4.6	145	68	112	5	<10	2.7	<2	2325	<10
U.S .EPA MCL			0.2	5.0	15	1300	2000	NA	10	100	NA	50	NA
U.S .EPA WQL			0.75	0.12	65	13	NA	NA	340	570	NA	NA	470
MD ATL			NA	0.12	65	13	NA	NA	NA	570	NA	NA	470
MDL			0.05	0.001	5	5	10	5	10	1	2	1	10

Notes: MCL= maximum contaminant levels for drinking water; MCL for Al is based on a secondary non-enforceable drinking water regulation; WQL= water quality limits for protection of aquatic life and human health in fresh water; MD ATL = Maryland State aquatic toxicity limits for fresh water; MDL = Minimum detection limits; NA = Not available.





Figure 4.5. Effect of WTR content on WLT concentrations of a) aluminum, b) zinc, c) lead, d) copper, e) barium and f) boron. Leachates of mixtures prepared with 10%, 20% and 30% steel slag are run for another 18 hours with subgrade. 0% and 100% WTR content corresponds to steel slag only and WTR only specimens, respectively.

Zinc (Zn) is widely known to exhibit an amphoteric pattern (Cetin et al. 2013, Lim et al. 2004). Jegadeesan et al. (2008) showed that a decrease in the leaching of Zn in a neutral pH is due to its surface complexation with Fe–Al-oxide or silicate material, or the formation of insoluble hydroxides. Beyond a neutral pH, the Zn metals are known to precipitate as Zn(OH)_2 and dissolve completely under very alkaline conditions as Zn(OH)_3^- (Cotton and Wilkinson, 1999). The highest Zn concentrations can be observed in leachates of pure SS, and Zn concentrations decrease with an increasing WTR content in the leachates passed through the CL-ML soil. The CL soil provides a similar trend (lower Zn concentrations with decreasing pH) for WTR amounts 10-30% by weight. However, for the 100% WTR specimens that contain no SS, Zn concentrations are elevated due to an acidic environment generated by the CL soil (pH=4.33).


Jegadeesan et al. (2008) and Komonweeraket et al. (2010) showed that Cu and Pb are amphoteric metals that have high leachability at extreme pH conditions and low leachability at a neutral pH. They not only form cations at acidic pH, but they also form soluble hydroxides at alkaline pH, and, thus, are released both at a low and a high pH, resulting in a V-shaped solubility curve (Fedje 2010, Sabbas et al. 2003). When the pH value is at about 8, minimum solubility is reached for most of the solid phases controlling the leaching of Cd, Pb, Zn, Cu and Mo (Meima and Comans 1999). Figure 4.5 shows that the addition of WTR to SS results in lower pH values, and generally lowers the solubility of Cu and Pb. The only exception was the pure WTR specimen. The pH of the effluent passed through the CL subgrade was measured as 4.33 and elevated Cu and Pb concentrations were observed. For the CL-ML subgrade, the effluent solutions had a near-neutral pH (pH=6), and very low solubilities of Cu and Pb are evident. Table 4.2 shows that CL-ML soil has a higher Fe content compared with the CL subgrade, which might have resulted in surface complexations of Cu and Pb with Fe-oxides. Meima and Comans (1998, 1999) also reported Fe and Al (hydr)oxides being reactive adsorptive minerals for Cd, Zn, Cu, Pb and Mo.

Barium (Ba) concentrations tend to decrease with an increase in pH (Bankowski et al. 2004, Cetin et al. 2013). When leachates passed through a subgrade, pure SS produced a higher pH than S10WTR (pH 11.9 versus 10.1, Figure 4.4); however, the measured Ba concentrations for SS samples are slightly higher than those measured for S10WTR mixtures (17.8-19 $\mu\text{g/L}$ versus 11.5-12.5 $\mu\text{g/L}$, Table 4.3). The SS may have formed complexes with silicates present in WTR, resulting in slightly lower Ba concentrations, similar to the observations made by Bankowski et al. (2004). In general, Ba concentrations passed through the CL subgrade are higher than the ones passed through the CL-ML subgrade due to lower pH values of the leachate (pH 6.02-11.93 versus 4.33-11.9, respectively).

As seen in Figure 4.5, B concentrations increase with the introduction of 10% WTR due to elevated pH. However, B concentrations start to decrease at higher WTR contents, which is contrary to the findings of Elseewi et al. (1980). This might be related to lower percentage of SS which has a higher B content than WTR (41.6 mg/L versus 10.4 mg/L, Table 4.2). Furthermore, ettringite may form when WTR was added to the slag. Solem-Tishmack et al. (1995) reported that the presence of ettringite decreases the B concentrations by substitution of boron in oxyanion form for sulfate.



(a)



(b)

Figure 4.6. Effluent pH in CLTs on (a) CL-ML soil, and (b) CL soil




Figure 4.7 Elution curves for Ca^{2+}

4.4.2 Sequential Column Leach Tests (SCLT)

Figure 4.6 shows the effluent pH of all materials included in testing. Pure SS results in the highest pH (~12.6), and pH decreases with increasing WTR amounts (Figure 4.6). As mentioned earlier, the addition of WTR coated the slag particles, limiting Ca^{2+} leaching and also cause the formation of ettringite. Elution curves for Ca^{2+} , a significant indicator for pH changes, is given in Figure 4.7.

Figure 4.8 shows CLT elution curves for Al, Zn, Cu, Pb, Ba and B. Two leaching patterns can be observed: first-flush and steady-state leaching. First-flush leaching is characterized by high initial concentrations followed by monotonically decreasing concentrations with increasing pore volumes of flow, whereas constant leaching pattern does not exhibit any significant changes throughout the test.

As mentioned above, Al generally exhibits an amphoteric leaching pattern, i.e., higher leaching concentrations at extreme pH levels and lower concentrations at near-neutral pH (Langmuir 1997, Kenkel 2003). High concentrations of Al were measured in steel slag leachates because of its alkaline nature (pH 12.6). Due to the presence of large amounts of Al in WTR (159,700 mg/L, Table 4.2), Al concentrations were further elevated with the addition of WTR (Figure 4.8). When the effluent solution passes through the CL-ML soil layer and the pH dropped below 7.5, a significant decrease in Al concentrations was observed. However, when the CL soil was used as a subgrade soil, Al concentrations were elevated again due to very low pH of the effluent, confirming the amphoteric pattern.

Johnson et al. (1999) also showed that an increase in pH increases the Al concentrations leached from MSWI bottom fly ash, consistent with the findings of the current study. Considering the pH range in the CLT effluents (pH = 3.96 – 12.6), it is very likely that Al is available in the leachates in both its cationic and anionic species. Aluminum is very insoluble at a neutral pH (Sparks 2003), and its solubility is controlled by the dissolution-precipitation of oxide and hydroxide minerals (Komonweeraket et al. 2010). At pH = 5.75- 9, free Al^{3+} starts precipitating as $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ (gibbsite) and $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ (amorphous), which reduces the Al^{3+} concentrations in the leachate (Astrup et al. 2006). At pH>9, $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_4^-$ becomes the dominant species. Under these alkaline conditions, the surface charge of steel slag particles are negative and anionic forms of Al metal tends to be released, which raises Al concentrations in the aqueous environment (Gitari et al. 2009).

The amphoteric behavior of Zn^{2+} in waste leachates has been extensively studied (Eighmy et al. 1995, Garrabrants et al. 2004, Lim et al. 2004, Camacho and Munson-McGee 2006, and Fernandez Olmo et al. 2007). Malviya and Chaudhary (2006) studied leaching behavior and the immobilization of heavy metals in cement solidified/stabilized hazardous sludge from a steel processing plant, and they found that Zn^{2+} forms hydroxides at pH>8, which functions both as an acid and a base. Figure 4.8 shows that the highest Zn concentrations are observed in S30WTR-CL leachate, which has a pH of 4. As the pH increases to neutral values for the S30WTR-CLML leachate, the lowest solubility levels are reached for Zn. The Zn concentrations in S30WTR and S are higher due to an elevated pH (pH 11.1-12.6), which is in agreement with the amphoteric nature of the metal. Metal oxides contained in wastes derived from thermal processes, e.g., steel slag, are usually present in hydroxide forms after the hydration reactions take place. $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_2$ forms beyond neutral pH values and controls the Zn^{2+} solubility. The hydroxy complexes $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_4^{2-}$ and $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_5^{3-}$ can be present in a strong alkaline solution. Their anionic properties preclude their adsorption onto the negative surfaces of




Figure 4.8. CLT elution curves for a) aluminum, b) zinc, c) lead, d) copper, e) barium and f) boron.

the slag particles, increasing the soluble Zn^{2+} fraction. Fernandez-Olmo et al. (2009) studied the solubility of amphoteric heavy metals in stabilized/solidified steel foundry dust, a waste product of steel industry, and found out that Zn^{2+} exhibits an amphoteric pattern.

The data in Figure 4.8 show that the addition of WTR and the inclusion of two subgrade soils influence the CLT Cu concentrations. Previous studies indicated that Cu exhibits either an amphoteric (Fernandes Olmo et al. 2009, Komonweeraket et al. 2010) or cationic (Liu et al. 2008, Cetin et al. 2012) pattern. However, WTR consists of large amounts of Cu compared to the SS (56.4 mg/L vs 19.7 mg/L, Table 4.2) and the WTR addition also contributes to Cu leaching. An initial increase in Cu concentrations can be observed when the leachate passes through the acidic CL subgrade (pH~4), and Cu concentrations immediately drop with WTR addition — possibly due to the precipitation of Cu. Fe-oxides existing within the steel slag material may have also enhanced the adsorption of Cu onto slag surfaces.

Lead is amphoteric in nature, and its theoretical lowest solubility point occurs at pH 8.5 (Malviya and Chaudhary 2006). Pb concentrations measured in the current study tend to follow a pattern that is consistent with previous studies (Dijkstra et al. 2004, Fernandes-Olmo et al. 2009). At low pHs, PbOH^+ is the dominant dissolved Pb(II) species, and Pb forms hydroxide precipitates with an increase in pH. At neutral pH values, lower Pb concentrations are obtained, possibly due to the precipitation of Pb as a silicate species (alamosite and Pb_2SiO_4). When pH increases to higher values, soluble Pb increases, as hydroxy complexes ($\text{Pb}(\text{OH})_3^-$ and $\text{Pb}(\text{OH})_2$) and silicate precipitation remains the controlling mechanism for Pb stability (Halim et al. 2005). Voglar and Lestan (2010) tested cement solidified/stabilized contaminated soils and reported that Cu concentrations increase at pH >12 due to the formation of soluble hydroxide complexes.

The leaching pattern of Ba does not show a clear pH-dependency (Table 4.4). Previous studies showed that Ba leachability is controlled by the ubiquitous Ca in a solution, which would promote the precipitation of more insoluble sulphate and to co-precipitate as $(\text{Ba},\text{Sr})\text{SO}_4$, rather than as BaSO_4 or SrSO_4 (Fruchter et al. 1990, Izquierdo and Querol 2012). In the current study, Ba concentration remains at very low levels and almost constant at a pH of between 4 and 10; however, it exhibits a dramatic increase for the pure SS sample (pH=12.6). Even though steel slag includes small amounts of Ba (68.5 mg/L, Table 4.2), Cornelis et al. (2008) indicated that barium-metalates, which has low solubility products, may contribute to the release of Ba at such high pHs. Fallman (2000) and Huijgen (2006) studied the controlling mechanisms of Ba leaching and concluded that leaching of Ca and Ba are almost proportional since a decrease in the SO_4^{2-} solubility increases the solubility of Ba and Ca. Relatively higher Ba and Ca concentrations are observed with the pure SS used in the current study. Fallman (2000) and Huijgen and Comans (2006) also claimed that Ba solubility is controlled by Barite (BaSO_4). The addition of WTR in the current study might have elevated the SO_4^{2-} concentrations, contributed to barite formation and decreased the solubility of Ba^{2+} . Bankowski et al. (2004) also believed that the precipitation of Ba or the complexation with silicates might have decreased the Ba concentration within the leachate when the main metal source is treated.

Leached boron (B) concentrations from steel slag stay in a narrow range of 0.4-0.44 mg/L, and are independent of pH. When leachate of steel slag passes through the subgrade layers, B concentrations drop further and remain stable throughout the test (Figure 4.8). Myeni et al. (1998) also reported that the formation of ettringite, a stable mineral at pH > 10.7, could lead to a reduction in B concentrations due to the entrapment of B that exists as oxy-anion in the alkaline solution (Solem-Tishmack et al. 1995, Iwashita et al. 2005). These results show that the leaching pattern of B is independent of pH and is mainly dominated by the source of the metal.

Table 4.4. Peak effluent concentrations in SCLTs. Concentrations exceeding MCLs in bold

Sample	WTR Content	pH	Al (mg/L)	Zn (mg/L)	Pb (µg/L)	Cu (µg/L)	Ba (µg/L)	B (µg/L)	As (µg/L)	Cr (µg/L)	Li (µg/L)	Mn (µg/L)	Ni (µg/L)
S	0	12.6	0.6	0.13	22	14	1000	410	170	73	230	1.4	<10
S30WTR	30	11.10	240	0.05	8	100	120	73	70	3	20	35	40
S30WTR-CLML	30	7.06	0.05	0.025	5	10	110	31	40	<1	20	11,360	<10
S30WTR-CL	30	4.03	7	30	600	350	102	72	79	5.2	129	11,500	8,050
U.S .EPA MCL			0.2	5.0	15	1300	2000	NA	10	100	NA	50	NA
U.S .EPA WQL			0.75	0.12	65	13	NA	NA	340	570	NA	NA	470
MD ATL			NA	0.12	65	13	NA	NA	NA	570	NA	NA	470
MDL			0.05	0.001	5	5	10	5	10	1	2	1	10

Notes: MCL= maximum contaminant levels for drinking water; MCL for Al is based on a secondary non-enforceable drinking water regulation; WQL= water quality limits for protection of aquatic life and human health in fresh water; MD ATL = Maryland State aquatic toxicity limits for fresh water; MDL = Minimum detection limits; NA=Not available.

Table 4.5. Stabilized effluent concentrations in SCLTs. Concentrations exceeding MCLs in **bold**.

Sample	WTR Content	pH	Al (mg/L)	Zn (mg/L)	Pb (µg/L)	Cu (µg/L)	Ba (µg/L)	B (µg/L)	As (µg/L)	Cr (µg/L)	Li (µg/L)	Mn (µg/L)	Ni (µg/L)
S	0	12.6	0.43	0.043	21	10	530	410	70	15	160	0.8	<10
S30WTR	30	11.10	188	0.012	8	10	90	70	10	3	10	5	<10
S30WTR-CLML	30	7.06	0.05	0.007	<5	<5	90	20	<10	<1	3	5,536	<10
S30WTR-CL	30	4.03	7	0.022	175	70	85	40	24	3.5	15	1,160	1,500
U.S .EPA MCL			0.2	5.0	15	1300	2000	NA	10	100	NA	50	NA
U.S .EPA WQL			0.75	0.12	65	13	NA	NA	340	570	NA	NA	470
MD ATL			NA	0.12	65	13	NA	NA	NA	570	NA	NA	470
MDL			0.05	0.001	5	5	10	5	10	1	2	1	10

Notes: MCL= maximum contaminant levels for drinking water; MCL for Al is based on a secondary non-enforceable drinking water regulation; WQL= water quality limits for protection of aquatic life and human health in fresh water; MD ATL = Maryland State aquatic toxicity limits for fresh water; MDL = Minimum detection limits; NA=Not available.

Table 4.4 shows the peak concentrations of Al, Zn, Pb, Cu, Ba and B obtained from SCLTs. All metal concentrations are lower than the U.S. EPA limits after passing through the CL-ML subgrade soil. The concentrations are higher when an acidic subgrade (CL, pH ~4) was employed; however, it should be recognized that the overwhelming majority of the concentrations are well below the EPA limits when stabilized concentrations are considered (Table 4.5). The variation in concentrations obtained from the SCLTs indicates that a better approach is necessary for the prediction of actual field behavior. Computer models, such as WiscLEACH, become useful in developing concentration profiles in the field.

A chemical equilibrium modeling software, Visual MINTEQ v3.0, was utilized for estimating CaCO_3 precipitation in slag leachates containing different Ca^{2+} concentrations. The procedures are listed in Section 3.4.2 and estimated amount of CaCO_3 precipitation for the effluent leachates are given in Table 4.6.

4.4.3 Modeling of Chemical Transport in Groundwater

The transport parameters and hydraulic conductivities, the two sets of inputs to WiscLEACH, were determined from the laboratory Br tracer and the falling-head hydraulic conductivity (BS 1377-6) tests, respectively (Table 4.7). Effective porosities and dispersion coefficients for each material and metal were determined by fitting the Ogata-Banks (1961) equation to the effluent Br concentrations in tracer tests. The calculated effective porosities and dispersion coefficients were then used to obtain the retardation factor for each metal by fitting the van Genuchten (1981) equation to the CLT elution curves. The annual precipitation rate was selected as 1 m/year, the average annual rainfall in State of Maryland, according to the U.S. Geological Survey. The remaining site parameters are listed in Table 4.8. Retardation factors (R_d) as high as 300 were observed, which ultimately influence the concentration profiles in vadose zone and groundwater. For metals below the detection limits, since no leaching pattern could be observed, $R_d=3.5$ was assumed for all subgrades.

Figures 4.9 through 4.12 show the contour plots of the predicted concentrations of Zn in the soil vadose zone, as well as the groundwater. For brevity, the plots for Zn are provided herein, and the concentration profiles for all metals are given in the Appendix. The contour plots provide predictions of the metal concentrations after 5, 20, 50 and 100 years of construction. WiscLEACH simulations indicate that Zn concentrations within both subgrade CL and CL-ML were below the EPA MCL of 5 mg/L. The results indicated that the maximum Zn concentrations were reached in approximately 20 years; however, they were significantly below the EPA MCL at the groundwater table.

As shown in Figures 4.9-4.12, Zn concentrations decreased away from the steel slag-WTR embankment due to the dispersion of metals in the soil vadose zone. A high annual precipitation rate may have also caused an initial increase in leaching of the metals originating from the mixture; however, most of the metals are adsorbed onto subgrades. Even though the permeabilities and peak metal concentrations of SS and SS30WTR are significantly different, the metal concentrations within the vadose zones and in groundwater only change minimally. This is due to the fact that hydraulic conductivity of the compacted clay layer and subgrades are very low and they control the flow rate of the leachate.

Table 4.6. Estimation of CaCO₃ precipitation for the effluent leachates

Sample	Peak Ca ²⁺ - concentration (mg/L)	Estimated Peak CaCO ₃ Precipitation (mg/L)	Stabilized Ca ²⁺ - concentration (mg/L)	Estimated Stabilized CaCO ₃ Precipitation (mg/L)
S	848	2050	796	1920
S30WTR	341	782	284	639
S30WTR-CLML	293	662	45	43.0
S30WTR-CL	106	195	32	10.6

Note: S=Steel slag; WTR=water treatment residual; CL= low plasticity clayey subgrade; CL-ML= silty clay subgrade. Calcium carbonate precipitation was estimated based on measured Ca²⁺ concentrations using Minteq Visual v3.1

Table 4.7. Hydraulic and transport input parameters for pavement, embankment, subgrade and aquifer structures

Specimen	Hydraulic Conductivity, K(m/year)	Effective Porosity, n_e	Hydraulic Gradient, i	Longitudinal Dispersivity, α_L (m)	Transverse Dispersivity, α_L (m)	Retardation Factor, R_d for Zn
S	295	0.32	0.001	0.588	0.059	28
S30WTR	0.95	0.343	0.001	0.368	0.037	20.5
Subgrade CL-ML	2.24	0.33	0.001	0.130	0.010	16
Subgrade CL	0.36	0.27	0.001	0.147	0.015	7.3
Encapsulation Layer	0.32	0.25	0.001	0.1	0.01	7.2
Pavement	18.29	0.35	0.001	0.1	0.01	1
Aquifer	3784	0.30	0.001	0.1	0.01	1

Table 4.8. Input site parameters

W_{POC} (m)	W_P (m)	W_S (m)	Z_{GWT} (m)	Prcpt (m/year)	T_{max} (m)	T (m)	Side Slope (2:1)
30	6	2	5	1.00	200	7	2:1

Notes: W_{POC} : Point of compliance, W_P : Pavement width, W_S : Shoulder width, Z_{GWT} : Depth to groundwater table, Prcpt: Annual precipitation rate in m/year, T_{max} : 50 years, T: Thickness of embankment structure





Figure 4.9. Predicted Zn concentrations in vadose zone and groundwater for simulating flow of S30WTR leachate through subgrade CL.





Figure 4.10. Predicted Zn concentrations in vadose zone and groundwater for simulating flow of S30WTR leachate through subgrade CLML.





Figure 4.11. Predicted Zn concentrations in vadose zone and groundwater for simulating flow of S leachate through subgrade CL.




Figure 4.12. Predicted Zn concentrations in vadose zone and groundwater for simulating flow of S leachate through subgrade CLML

4.5. RESULTS

A laboratory study was conducted to investigate the leaching characteristics of pure or WTR-treated steel slag in groundwater. The effects of WTR addition, presence of an encapsulating layer in the embankment, and type of the subgrade on pH and leached concentrations of Al, Ba, B, Cu, Pb and Zn metals were studied through laboratory leaching tests. The observations from the current study are as follows:

- The results of the sequential water leach tests showed that increasing WTR percentage resulted in a decrease in pH. Flow of the leachate through the subgrade decreased the pH further with the effluent pH significantly depending on the natural pH of the soil.
- Aluminum concentration initially increased when WTR amount was increased from 0 to 10 % due to high alum content of WTR; however, then decreased with increasing WTR percentage due to amphoteric nature of Al. Pb, Cu and Zn exhibited a clear amphoteric pattern, whereas Ba concentrations increased with decreasing pH. The dominant factor for B leaching was the source of metal instead of pH.
- The results of sequential column leach tests indicated that an increase in WTR decreased the pH; however, WTR percentage did not have a significant effect on final pH values once the leachate percolated through the encapsulation layer.
- Al-concentrations increased dramatically with addition of WTR in the sequential column leach tests, but the concentration in the leachate was strongly pH-dependent, yielding higher metal concentrations for CL subgrade (natural pH=3.8). The same amphoteric nature could also be observed with Pb, Zn and Cu. However, the highest metal concentrations for Ba and B were observed with pure slag leachates, indicating that the metal source was the dominant factor characterizing the leaching pattern instead of solubility. Stabilized metal concentrations of the leachates from the subgrade CL-ML were below the EPA MCL, however, As and Pb concentrations of the CL subgrade leachate were above those. This clearly emphasized that the natural pH of the subgrade played a significant role in the leachate characteristics.
- WiscLEACH numerical simulations suggest that the metal concentrations decreased over time and distance and that all the metals were sufficiently dispersed in the vadose zone. WiscLEACH results also indicated that the predicted metal concentrations were much lower than those measured in the column leach tests suggesting that the results of laboratory tests are likely to provide a conservative estimate of field metal leaching.

SECTION FIVE

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 CONCLUSIONS

Highway constructions pose great potential for the beneficial reuse of large volumes of steel slag (SS). The research study was completed to investigate the mechanical and environmental suitability of SS-amended highway embankments. In order to decrease the material's pH to acceptable levels, SS was mixed with water treatment residual (WTR), a non-hazardous residue obtained from drinking water treatment facilities. Accelerated swell tests were performed on mixtures prepared with different percentages of WTR to obtain the best SS-to-WTR ratio. A battery of laboratory sequential water leach tests (SWLT) and sequential column leach tests (SCLT) were conducted to determine the environmental suitability of SS and to simulate the leachate flow from pure SS or SS-WTR mixtures into groundwater or surface waters. A clayey borrow material, commonly used by the SHA in embankment construction and proposed herein as a soil to encapsulate steel slag in an embankment setting, and two typical Maryland subgrade soils (one CL-ML and one CL type) were included in the leaching tests to study the influence of the buffering capacity of clayey soils on metal leaching and pH. A series of numerical analyses via WiscLEACH and UMDSurf were conducted to predict the leached metal concentrations in groundwater and surface waters, respectively, as a function of depth and time. The following results were observed:

1. The addition of WTR to steel slag decreased the maximum dry unit weight ($\gamma_{dry-max}$), increased the optimum moisture content (w_{opt}) and lowered the ultimate swelling ratios of the mixtures. However, due to a significant difference between the specific gravities of steel slag and WTR ($G_s=3.45$ versus 1.88), usage of WTR greater than 30% by weight decreases the SS amount in the mixture significantly (by more than 44%).
2. All pure steel slag materials, as well as the mixtures used in this study, have excellent shear strength properties based on direct shear tests. Effective internal friction angle generally decreases with WTR, bitumen, or sand addition with a 30% WTR addition yielding in the largest decrease. The effective cohesion values are very small, and their largest decrease was observed with an addition of 30% WTR.
3. Steel slag has been treated using three different methods, bituminous coating, sand amendment, and water treatment residual (WTR) addition, to mitigate the swelling. Accelerated swelling tests have been performed on pure and treated steel slag samples. The results indicated that all three methods resulted in significant decrease in the ultimate swelling ratio. A summary of these results are provided in Figure 5.1.
4. Even though all the three methods yielded successful results in mitigating the swelling, additional environmental analyses indicated that bituminous coating and sand amendment did not cause a change in the effluent pH of pure steel slag.
5. While pure steel slag is highly alkaline (pH ~ 12.6), the addition of WTR caused a decrease in pH. Use of WTR as high as 30% by weight did not satisfy the Maryland Department of Environment (MDE) pH limit of 8.5 in either SWLTs or SCLTs. However, when the steel slag-WTR leachate passed through the encapsulation soil, SWLT and SCLT pH levels dropped to 7.18-9 and 4.03-7.06, respectively. The results are given in Fig 5.2.




Figure 5.1. Effect of treatment methods on the ultimate swelling ratio

6. The concentrations of the metals studied in this research are greatly influenced by the pH of the effluent solution, which suggests that mixing slag with an acidic or alum-rich additive, such as WTR, is essential for the reduction of pH levels and metal concentrations to acceptable limits. High concentrations were reduced to acceptable limits when leachate passed through the CL-ML subgrade soil. When CL subgrade soil was used, the difference between the leached Al concentrations and EPA Water Quality Limit (WQL) was less than one order of magnitude. It should be noted that Al is on the EPA list of secondary drinking water regulations, and no limits for Al are specified in Maryland groundwater protection guidelines. Effect of subgrade on Al-concentration is shown in Fig 5.3.
7. WiscLEACH simulations for steel slag-amended embankments indicated that all metals were sufficiently dispersed in the vadose zone, and the metal concentrations decreased over time and distance to negligible levels in groundwater. WiscLEACH results also indicated that the metal concentrations were much lower than those obtained in the laboratory leaching tests, suggesting that laboratory tests are likely to provide a conservative estimate of field metal leaching. UMDSurf results showed that at a 40 m distance from the contact point (i.e., the corner of the embankment) the metal concentrations decreased to 50% of their initial values. The rate of decrease slowed down with increasing distance; and eventually all metal concentrations were below the EPA limits at 1000 m from the corner of the embankment.
8. Based on the findings obtained from the laboratory tests and numerical analyses, WTR-treated steel slag would not pose any hazard to surface waters in the vicinity of an embankment or groundwater below or within typical Maryland subgrades. In order to keep both swelling and metal leaching at acceptable levels, SS can be mixed with 30% WTR by weight before use.

5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES

Even though the environmental and mechanical suitability of the SS-WTR mixtures has been deemed satisfactory, the influence of possible field pH excursions on metal concentrations needs to be analyzed via pH-dependent laboratory leaching tests. This would provide more information on defining the leaching pattern of the metals, as the leaching of most metals is pH-dependent.

WiscLEACH predicts field concentrations of metals released from a steel slag embankment; however, it does not consider the chemical and biological reactions that may occur in the field. WiscLEACH also ignores the surface runoff at the edge of the pavement and pavement surface and assumes that the entirety of precipitated water infiltrates through the pavement structure and soil vadose zones. This is a conservative assumption and may overestimate the leached metal concentrations in the groundwater. Therefore, including the effects of the loss of precipitated water may yield a more accurate prediction of the leached metal concentrations in the field. Moreover, WiscLEACH does not consider the pH of subgrade soils during the development of contour plots. Thus, a series of pH-dependent laboratory tests should be performed, and the measured concentration in these tests should be input to WiscLEACH to assess the fate and transport of metal concentrations under varying field conditions.

Finally, performing large-scale field leaching tests on slag and mixtures utilized in the current study would help to compare field leaching to that observed in the laboratory. A field leaching study would help to validate the results obtained from numerical models and check the accuracy and efficiency of the treatment methods.




Figure 5.2. Effect of subgrade type on effluent pH




Figure 5.3. Effect of subgrade type on Al-concentrations

5.3 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR USE OF STEEL SLAG IN HIGHWAY EMBANKMENTS

- Although strength properties are deemed as satisfactory, use of pure steel slag as an embankment material should be avoided due to excessive swelling varying between 2.5-3.5%.
- Based on the results of strength, swelling and leaching tests, it can be concluded that a treatment is necessary for beneficial use of steel slag as an embankment fill material in highway applications.
- For every steel slag material provided by a manufacturer, a total elemental analysis (TEA) test has to be performed to determine the elemental composition of the material, since the composition can differ by plant and aging. The current test results did not indicate whether or not the aging has a positive effect on swelling and pollutant leaching behavior.
- Even though dry mixing with sand or bituminous coating result in a decrease in ultimate swelling ratio, preliminary batch leach tests have shown that these two methods do not yield acceptable pH values, thus dry mixing the steel slag with an alum-rich clean additive (e.g., water treatment residual, WTR) and encapsulating in a clay layer should be selected as the treatment method.
- Depending on the type of subgrade underlying the embankment, pH can be further reduced. It should be noted that, due to their low buffering capacity, sandy and silty subgrade soils may not be effective in decreasing pH.
- The metal concentrations will decrease significantly as the leachate travels far from the embankment. Nearly 50 m from the corner of the embankment, the metal concentrations within the surface water decreases by 50%. For embankment construction very close to watersheds or surface waters, use of steel slag is not recommended.
- The numerical modeling results show that the concentrations will decrease significantly within the vadose zone due to high buffering capacity of the clays. Due to low permeability of the clayey subgrades, it will take around 50 years for the leachate to reach the groundwater and yet remain at concentrations below the EPA limits. In-situ leaching tests (i.e., lysimeter tests) are recommended for field assessment of metal leaching.

REFERENCES

- Ahmedzade, P. and Sengoz, B. (2009). Evaluation of steel slag coarse aggregate in hot mix asphalt concrete. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 165-(1-3), 300-305.
- Andreas, L., Herrmann, I., Lidstrom-Larsson, M., and Lagerkvist, A. (2005). Physical properties of steel slag to be reused in a landfill cover. *Proceedings of Sardinia, Tenth International Waste Management and Landfill Symposium, Environmental Sanitary Engineering Centre, Cagliari, Italy, Oct. 3-7*.
- Apul, D. S., Gardner, K. H., Eighmy, T. T., Fallman, A.-M., and Comans, R. N. J. (2005). Simultaneous application of dissolution/precipitation and surface complexation/surface precipitation modeling to contaminant leaching. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 39-15, 5736 - 5741.
- Astrup, T., Dijkstra, J. J., Comans, R. N. J., Van der Sloot, H. A., and Christensen, T. H. (2006). Geochemical modeling of leaching from MSWI air-pollution-control residues. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 40-11, 3551-3557.
- ATSDR (2008). Toxicological profile for aluminum. Agency for toxic substances and disease registry, Atlanta, GA, p. 357,
<http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp.asp?id=191&tid=34>, Last Updated December 4 2014, Accessed on December 4 2014.
- Banks, M. K., Schwab, A. P., Alleman, J. E., Hunter, J. G., and Hickey, J. C. (2006). *Constructed wetlands for the remediation of blast furnace slag leachates*. FHWA/IN/JTRP-2006/03. Joint Transportation Research Program, Indiana Department of Transportation and Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, US.
- Bankowski, P., Zou, L., and Hodges, R.H. (2004). Reduction of metal leaching in Brown coal fly ash using geopolymers. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 14, 59 - 67.
- Barabasz, W., Albinska, D., Jaskowska, M., and Lipiec, J. (2002). Ecotoxicology of aluminium. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies* 11, 199-203.
- Barra, M., Ramonich, E.V., and Munoz, M.A. (2001). Stabilization of soils with steel slag and cement for application in rural and low traffic roads. *Proceedings of the Beneficial Use of Recycled Materials in Transportation Application*, 423-432.
- Bin-Shafique, S., Benson, C., Edil, T., and Hwang, K. (2006). Leachate concentrations from water leach and column leach tests on fly-ash stabilized soil. *Environmental Engineering Science* 23-1, 51-65.
- Boyer, B. (1994). Alkaline leachate and calcareous tufa originating from slag in a highway embankment near Baltimore, Maryland. *Transportation Research Record* 1434. pp. 3-7.
- Camacho, L. M. and Munson-McGee, S. H. (2006). Anomalous transient leaching behavior of metals solidified/stabilized by pozzolanic fly ash. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 137-1, 144-151.

- Cetin, B., Aydilek, A.H., and Li, L. (2014). Trace metal leaching from embankment soils amended with high carbon fly ash, *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, ASCE*, 140- 1, 1-13.
- Cetin, B., Aydilek, A.H., and Guney, Y. (2012). Leaching of four trace metals from high carbon fly ash stabilized highway base layers, *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 58, 8-17.
- Cetin, B., Aydilek, A.H., and Li, L. (2012). Experimental and numerical study of metal leaching from fly ash amended highway bases, *Waste Management*, 32-5, 965-978.
- Chaurand, P., Rose, J., Briois, V., Olivi, L., Hazemann, J. L., Proux, O., Domas, J., and Bottero, J.Y. (2007). Environmental impacts of steel slag reused in road construction: A crystallographic and molecular (XANES) approach. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 139-3, 537-542.
- Chavez, M. L., de Pablo, L., and Garcia, T.A. (2010). Adsorption of Ba^{2+} by Ca- exchange clinoptile tuff and montmorillonite clay. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 175, 216-223.
- Cohen, S. M., Arnold, L. L., Eldan, M., Lewis, A. S., and Beck, B. D. (2006). Methylated arsenicals: the implications of metabolism and carcinogenicity studies in rodents to human risk assessment. *Critical Reviews in Toxicology*. 36, 99-133.
- Cornelis, G., Johnson, C.H., Van Gerven, T., and Vandecasteele, C. (2008). Leaching mechanisms of oxyanionic metalloid and metal species in alkaline solid wastes, *Applied Geochemistry*, 23, 955-976.
- Cornelis, G., Van Gerven, T., Vandecasteele, C., Kirb, J. K., and McLaughlin, M. J. (2011). Geochemical constraints in slag valorisation: the case of oxyanions and nanoparticles, *Proceedings of the Second International Slag Valorization Symposium*, April 18-20, Leuven, Belgium.
- Cotton, A.F. and Wilkinson, G. (1999). *Advanced Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- Das, B., Prakash, S., Reddy, P. S. R., and Misra, V.N. (2007). An overview of utilization of slag and sludge from steel industries. *Resources Conservation and Recycling*, 50- 1, 40-57.
- De Smedt, F., Brevis, W., and Debels, P. (2005). Analytical solution for solute transport resulting from instantaneous injection in streams with transient storage. *Journal of Hydrology*, 315, 25–39.
- De Windt, L., Chaurand, P., and Rose, J. (2011). Kinetics of steel slag leaching: Batch tests and modeling, *Waste Management*, 31, 225-235.
- Deniz, D., Tutumluer, E., and Popovics, J. (2009). *Expansive characteristics of reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) used as base materials*. Research Report ICT-09-055, Illinois Center for Transportation.

- Deniz, D., Tutumluer, E., and Popovics, J. (2010). Evaluation of expansive characteristics of reclaimed asphalt pavement and virgin aggregate used as base materials. *Transportation Research Record* 2167, 10-17.
- Dijkstra, J. J., Meeussen, J. C. L., and Comans, R. N. J. (2004). Leaching of heavy metals from contaminated soils: An experimental and modeling study. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 38-16, 4390-4395.
- Eighmy, T. T., Eusden, J. D., Krzanowski, J. E., Domingo, D. S., Stampfli, D., Martin, J. R., and Erickson, P. M. (1995). Comprehensive approach toward understanding element speciation and leaching behavior in municipal solid-waste incineration electrostatic precipitator ash. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 29(3), 629- 646.
- Elsayed-Ali, O. H., Abdel-Fattah, T., and Elsayed-Ali, H. E. (2011). Copper cation removal in an electrokinetic cell containing zeolite, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 185, 1550-1557.
- Elseewi, A. A., Page, A. L., and Grimm, S. R. (1980). Chemical characterization of fly ash aqueous systems. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 9, 424 - 428.
- Emery, J. J. (1974). A simple test procedure for evaluating the potential expansion of steel slag. *Proc. of the 1974 Annual Conference, Roads and Transportation Association of Canada, Toronto, Ontario*, 90-103.
- Ettler, V., Mihaljevic, M., and Sebek, O. (2010). Antimony and arsenic leaching from secondary lead smelter air-pollution-control residues. *Waste Management & Research* 28, 587-595.
- Evans, A.C. (2006). Compaction control of large-sized granular soils/aggregates: the vibrating hammer method of compaction and time domain reflectometry, M.Sc. Thesis, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana.
- Fällman, A. M. and Aurell, B. (1996). Leaching tests for environmental assessment of inorganic substances in wastes, *Science of the Total Environment*. 178, 71-84.
- Fällman, A. M. (2000). Leaching of chromium and barium from steel slag in laboratory and field tests-a solubility controlled process? *Waste Management*, 20, 149-154.
- Fällman, A.M. and Hartlén, J. (1994). Leaching of slags and ashes - controlling factors in field experiments versus in laboratory tests. In: *Goumans, J.J.J.M., van de Sloot, H.A., Aalbers Th.G., editors. Environmental aspects of construction with waste materials: Studies in Environmental Science* 60. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 39-54.
- Fedje, K.K. (2010). *Metals in MSWI fly ash - problems or opportunities?* PhD Thesis, Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden
- Fernandez-Olmo, I., Lasa, C., and Irabien, A. (2007). Modeling of zinc solubility in stabilized/solidified electric arc furnace dust. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 144-3, 720-724.

- Fernandez-Olmo, I., Lasa, C., Lavin, M. A., and Irabien, A. (2009). Modeling of amphoteric heavy metals solubility in stabilized/solidified steel foundry dust. *Environmental Engineering Science*, 26-2, 251-261.
- Fruchter, J. S., Ral, D., and Zachara, J. M. (1990). Identification of solubility-controlling solid phases in a large fly ash field lysimeter. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 24-8, 1173 - 1179.
- Garrabrants, A. C., Sanchez, F., and Kosson, D. S. (2004). Changes in constituent equilibrium leaching and pore water characteristics of a Portland cement mortar as a result of carbonation. *Waste Management*, 24-1, 19-36.
- Geiseler, J. (1994). Steel slag-generation, processing and utilization. *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Resource Conservation and Environmental Technologies in Metallurgical Industry*, pp. 87-97, Toronto, Canada, August 1994.
- Geiseler, J. (1996). Use of steel works slag in Europe. *Waste Management* 16(1-3), 59-63.
- Ghionna, V., Pedroni, S., Tenani, P., and Veggi, S. (1996). Geotechnical investigation on steel slags mixtures for landfills embankments construction. *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Environmental Geotechnics*, Osaka, Japan, November 5-8, Balkema , Rotterdam, 709-714.
- Gitari, W. M., Fatoba, O. O., Petrik, L. F., and Vadapalli, W. R. K. (2009). Leaching characteristics of selected South African fly ashes: Effect of pH on the release of major and trace species. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part A*, 44, 206-220.
- Gomes, J. F. P. and Pinto, C. G. (2006). Leaching of heavy metals from steelmaking slags, *Revista del Metalurgia* 42-6, 409-416.
- Goswami, R. K. and Mahanta, C. (2007). Leaching characteristics of residual lateritic soils stabilized with fly ash and lime for geotechnical applications, *Waste Management* 27, 466- 481.
- Grubb, D., Wazne, M., Jagupilla, S., and Malasavage, N. (2011). Beneficial use of steel slag fines to immobilize arsenite and arsenate: slag characterization and metal thresholding studies. *Journal of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste* 15, Special Issue: Contaminant Mixtures: Fate, Transport, and Remediation, 130-150.
- Grubb, D., Wazne, M., Jagupilla, S., Malasavage, N., and Bradfield, W. (2013). Aging effects in field-compacted dredged material: steel slag fines blends. *Journal of Hazardous Toxic and Radioactive Waste*, 17-2, 107-119.
- Halim, C. E., Short, S., Amal, R., Scott, J. A., Beydoun, D., and Low, G. (2005). Modelling the leaching of Pb, Cd, As, and Cr from cementitious waste using PHREEQC, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 125, 45-61.
- Havanagi, V. G., Sinha, A. K., Arora, V. K., and Mathur, S. (2012). Waste materials for construction of road embankment and pavement layers. *International Journal of Environment Engineering Research*, 1-2, 51-59.

- Healy, J., Bradley, S.D., Northage, C., and Scobbie, E. (2001). Inhalation exposure in secondary aluminum smelting. *The Annals of Occupational Hygiene*, 45, 217–225.
- Holtz, R. D. and Kovacs, W. D. (1981). *An Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.
- Hughes, M. F. (2002), Arsenic toxicity and potential mechanisms of action, *Toxicology Letters*, 133 (1), 1-16.
- Huijgen, W. J. J. and Comans, R. N. J. (2006). Carbonation of steel slag for CO₂ sequestration: Leaching of products and reaction mechanisms, *Environmental Science and Technology*, 40, 2790-2796.
- Ischii, Y., Fujizuka, N., and Takahashi, T. (1993). A fatal case of acute boric acid poisoning. *Clinical Toxicology*, 31-2, 345-352.
- Iwashita, A., Sakaguchi, Y., Nakajima, T., Takanashi, H., Ohki, A., and Kambara, S. (2005). Leaching characteristics of boron and selenium for various coal fly ashes. *Fuel*, 84, 479–485.
- Izquierdo, M. and Querol, X. (2012). Leaching behaviour of elements from coal combustion fly ash: An overview. *International Journal of Coal Geology*, 94, 54-66.
- Jankowski J., Colin, R. W., French, D., and Groves, S. (2006). Mobility of trace elements from selected Australian fly ashes and its potential impact on aquatic ecosystems. *Fuel* 85, 243 – 256.
- Jegadeesan, G., Al-Abed, S. R., and Pinto, P. (2008). Influence of trace metal distribution on its leachability from coal fly ash. *Fuel*, 87, 1887-1893.
- Johnson, C. A., Kaeppli, M., Brandenberger, S., Ulrich, A., and Bauman, W. (1999). Hydrological and geochemical factors affecting leachate composition in municipal solid waste incinerator bottom ash Part II. The geochemistry of leachate from Landfill Lostorf, Switzerland. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology* 40, 239-259.
- Johnson, G. V. and Zhang, H. (2004). Cause and Effects of Soil Acidity, Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Fact Sheet, PSS-2239, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma.
- Jomova, K., Jenisova, Z., Feszterova, M., Baros, S., Liska, J., Hudecova, D., Rhodesd, C. J., Valkoc, M. (2011). Arsenic: toxicity, oxidative stress and human disease. *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, 31, 95-107.
- Juckes, L. M. (2003). The volume stability of modern steelmaking slags. *Mineral Processing and Extractive Metallurgy* 112-3, 177-197.
- Juenger, M. C., Monteiro, P. M., and Gartner, E. M. (2006). In situ imaging of ground granulated blast furnace slag hydration. *Journal of Materials Science*, 41-21, 7074-7081.
- Kandahl, P. S. and Hoffman, G. L. (1997). Evaluation of steel slag fine aggregate in hot-mix asphalt mixture. *Transportation Research Record* 1583, 18–36.

- Kenkel, J. (2003). *Analytical chemistry for technicians*. 3rd Edition, Boca Raton -Florida: Lewis Publishers.
- Kim, A.G. (2006). The effect of alkalinity of class F PC fly ash on metal release. *Fuel* 85, 1403 – 1413.
- Kim, K., Park, S. M., Kim, J., Kim, S. H., Kim, Y., Moon, J. T., Hwang, G. S., and Cha, W.S. (2009). Arsenic concentration in pore water of an alkaline coal ash disposal site: Roles of siderite precipitation/dissolution and soil cover. *Chemosphere*, 77, 222 - 227.
- Komonweeraket, K., Benson, C. H., Edil, T. B., and Bleam, W. F. (2010). *Mechanisms controlling leaching of heavy metals from soil stabilized with fly ash*, GeoEngineering Report No. 02-14, 2, Geo Engineering Program, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI.
- Krewski, D., Yokel, R. A., Nieboer, E., Borchelt, D., Cohen, J., Harry, J., and Rondeau, V. (2007). Human health risk assessment for aluminium, aluminium oxide, and aluminium hydroxide. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part B: Critical Reviews*, 10(Supp. 1), 1-269.
- Langmuir, D. (1997). *Aqueous environmental geochemistry*. 1st edition, New Jersey: Prentice – Hall, Inc..
- Lee, A.R. (1974). *Blast furnace and steel slag: production, properties and uses*. Edward Arnold Ltd., London.
- Lee, P.Y. (1976). Study of irregular compaction curves. Soil Specimen Preparation for Laboratory Testing, ASTM STP 599, *American Society of Testing Materials*, 278-288.
- Lee, P.Y. and Suedkamp, R.J. (1972). Characteristics of irregularly shaped compaction curves. *Highway Research Record* 381, 1-9.
- Li, L., Benson, C. H., Edil, T. B., and Hatipoglu, B. (2007). Groundwater impacts from coal ash in highways. *Waste and Resource Management* 159, 151 – 163.
- Lim, T. T., Tay, J. H., Tan, L. C., and Choa, V. (2004). The changes in mobility and speciation of heavy metals in clay-amended incinerator fly ash, *Environmental Geology*, 47, 1-10.
- Liu, Y., Li, Y., Li, X., and Jiang, Y. (2008). Leaching behavior of heavy metals and PAHs from MSWI bottom ash in long – term static immersing experiment. *Waste Management* 28, 1126 - 1136.
- Liu, Y. Y., Zhao, Y. S., Dong, J., Liu, P., Zhu, Z. G., and Sun, Y. (2008) pH buffering capacity of geological media on landfill leachate, *Huan Jing Ke Xue*, 29-7, 1948-1954.
- Malviya, R. and Chaudhary, R. (2006). Leaching behavior and immobilization of heavy metals in solidified/stabilized products. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 137-1, 207-217.

- Manna, G. K. and Parida, B. B. (1965). Aluminum chloride induced meiotic chromosome aberrations in the grasshopper. *Phloeoba antennata Naturwissenschaften*. 52, 647–648.
- Maryland Department of State Planning. (1973). *Natural soil groups of Maryland*. Technical Series Publication Number 199, December 1973.
- Material Safety Data Sheet (2013). Asphalt PG-64-22. Irving Oil Co. http://www.irvingoil.com/files/03310_ASPHALT_PG-64-22_MSDS_21.pdf, Accessed Oct. 31, 2013.
- Mayes, W. M, Younger, P. L., and Aumonier, J. (2008). Hydrogeochemistry of alkaline steel slag leachates in the UK, *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, 195(1-4), 35-50.
- MD-SHA, (2004). Roadway design manual. Maryland State Highway Administration.
- Meima, J. A. and Comans, R. N. J. (1998). Application of surface complexation/precipitation modeling to contaminant leaching from weathered municipal solid waste incinerator bottom ash. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 32-5, 688-693.
- Meima, J. A. and Comans, R. N. J. (1999). Leaching of trace elements from municipal solid waste incinerator bottom ash at different stages of weathering. *Applied Geochemistry*, 14-2, 159-171.
- Morar, D., Aydilek, A. H., Seagren, E. A., and Demirkan, M. M. (2012). Metal Leaching from Fly Ash- Sand Reactive Barriers, *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 138-8, 815-825.
- Morar, D. L. (2008). *Leaching of metals from fly ash – amended permeable reactive barriers*, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Maryland – College Park.
- Motz, H. and Geiseler, J. (2001). Products of steel slags an opportunity to save natural resources. *Waste Management* 21-3, 285-293.
- Myeni, S. C. B., Traina, S. J., Logan, T. J., and Waychunas, G. A. (1998). Oxyanion behavior in alkaline environments: Sorption and desorption of arsenate in ettringite. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 31-6, 1761-1768.
- Narukawa, T., Takatsu, A., Chiba, K., Riley, K. W., and French, D. H. (2005). Investigation on chemical species of arsenic, selenium and antimony in fly ash from coal fuel thermal power stations. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 7-12, 1342-1348.
- Noureldin, A. S. and McDaniel, R. S. (1990). Evaluation of surface mixtures of steel slag and asphalt. *Transportation Research Record* 1269, 133-149.
- Ozkok, E., Davis, A. P., and Aydilek, A. H. (2015). Treatment methods for mitigation of high alkalinity in leachates of aged steel slag, *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, ASCE, in print.
- Pandey, V. C., Singh, J. S., Singh, R. P., Singh, N., and Yunus, M. (2011). Arsenic hazard in coal fly ash and its fate in Indian scenario. *Resource, Conservation and Recycling*. 55, 819-835.

- Patrick, L. (2012). Lead toxicity, a review of the literature. Part I: exposure, evaluation, and treatment. *Alternative Medicine Review* 11-1, 1-22.
- Perry, H. M, Jr., Kopp, S. J., and Perry, E. F. (1989). Hypertension and associated cardiovascular abnormalities induced by chronic Barium feeding. *Journal of Toxicol Environ. Health.* 28-3, 373-388.
- Praharaj, T., Powell, M. A., Hart, B. R., and Tripathy, S. (2002). Leachability of elements from sub-bituminous coal fly ash from India. *Environment International* 27, 609 - 615.
- Proctor, D. M., Fehling, K. A., Shay, E. C., Wittenborn, J. L., Green, J. J., Avent, C., Bigham, R. D., Connolly, M., Lee, B., Shepker, T. O., and Zak, M. A. (2000). Physical and chemical characteristics of blast furnace, basic oxygen furnace, and electric arc furnace steel industry slags. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 34-8, 1576-1582.
- Quina M. J., Bordado, J. C. M., and Quinta-Ferreira R. M. (2009). The influence of pH on the leaching behavior of inorganic components from municipal solid waste APC residues. *Waste Management*, 29, 2483-2493.
- Rohde, L., Nunez, W. P., and Ceratti, J. A. P. (2003). Electric arc furnace steel slag base material for low-volume roads. *Transportation Research Record* 1819, 201-207.
- Rojas, M. F. and M. I. S. Rojas. (2004). The chemical assessment of the electric arc furnace slag as construction material: expansive compounds. *Cement and Concrete Research* 34-10, 1881-1888.
- Sabbas, T., Poletini, A., Pomi, R., Astrup, T., Hjelmar, O., Mostbauer, P., Cappai, G., Magel, G., Salhofer, S., Speiser, C., Heuss-Assbichler, S., Klein, R., and Lechber, P. (2003). Management of municipal solid waste incineration residues. *Waste Management.* 23, 61-88.
- Sadiq, M., Locke, A., Spiers, G., and Pearson, D. A. B. (2002). Geochemical behavior of arsenic in Kelly Lake Ontario. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 141, 299-312.
- Sauer, J. J., Benson, C. H., Aydilek, A. H., and Edil. T. B. (2012). Trace elements leaching from organic soils stabilized with high carbon fly ash, *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, ASCE, 138- 8, 968-980.
- Shaw, C. A. and Tomljenovic, L. (2013). Aluminum in the central nervous system (CNS): toxicity in humans and animals, vaccine adjuvants, and autoimmunity, *Immunologic Research*, 56 (2-3), 304-316.
- Shen, W., Zhou, M., and Ma, W. (2009). Investigation on the application of steel slag-fly ash-phosphogypsum solidified material as road base material. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 164-1, 99-104.
- Solem-Tishmack, J. K. and McCarthy, G. J. (1995). High-calcium combustion by-products: engineering properties, ettringite formation, and potential application in solidification and stabilization of selenium and boron. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 25, 658-670.

- Sorlini, S., Sanzeni, A., and Luca, R. (2012). Reuse of steel slag in bituminous paving mixtures. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 209- 210, 84–91.
- Sparks, D. L. (2003). *Environmental Soil Chemistry*, second edition, Academic Press, California.
- Stumm, W. and Morgan, J. J. (1996). *Aquatic Chemistry, Chemical Equilibria and Rates in Natural Waters*, 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1022 p.
- Suer, P., Lindqvist, J., Arm, M., and Frogner-Kockum, P. (2009). Reproducing ten years of road ageing-accelerated carbonation and leaching of EAF steel slag. *Science of Total Environment*, 407, 511–518.
- Svilovic, S., Rusic, D., and Stipisic, R. (2009). Modeling batch kinetics of copper ions sorption using synthetic zeolite NaX, *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 170, 941-947.
- Tossavainen, M., Engstrom, F., Yang, Q., Menad, N., Larsson, M. L., and Bjorkman, B. (2007). Characteristics of steel slag under different cooling conditions. *Waste Management*, 27-10, 1335-1344.
- Tsakiridis, E., Papadimitriou, G. D., and Tsivilis, S. (2008). Utilization of steel slag for portland cement clinker production. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 152- 2, 805–811.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2006). Air quality criteria for lead final report. (http://www.epa.gov/ttn/naaqs/standards/pb/s_pb_cr_cd.html), EPA/600/R-05/144aF-bF. Washington,DC,
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2008). Health Effects Support Document for Boron, EPA-822-R-08-002.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2000). Regulations on aluminum in large and small volume parenterals used in total parenteral nutrition, Fed. Regist. 2000;65:4103–4111
- Uthus, L., Hermansson, Å., Horvli, I., and Hoff, I. (2006). A study on the influence of water and fines on the deformation properties and frost heave of unbound aggregates. *Proc., 13th International Conference on Cold Regions Engineering*, Orono, Maine, 2006, 1–13.
- van der Hoek, E. E., van Elteren, J. T., and Comans, R. N. J. (1996). Determination of As, Sb, and Se speciation in fly ash leachates. *International Environment*, 63, 67-79.
- Van Der Sloot, H. A., Hoede, D., and Bonouvrie, P. (1991). *Comparison of different regulatory leaching test procedure for waste materials and construction materials*. ECN-C--91-082, Netherlands Energy Research Foundation (ECN), Petten.
- Van Genuchten, M. Th., Leij, F. J., Skaggs, T. H., Toride, N., Bradford, S. A., Pontedeiro, E. M. (2013a). Exact analytical solutions for contaminant transport in rivers.1.The equilibrium advection-dispersion equation, *Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics*, 61-2, 146–160.

- Van Genuchten, M. Th., Leij, F. J., Skaggs, T. H., Toride, N., Bradford, S. A., Pontedeiro, E. M. (2013b). Exact analytical solutions for contaminant transport in rivers.2. Transient storage and decay chain solutions. *Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics*, 61-3, 250–259.
- Verhasselt, A. and Choquet, F. (1989). Steel slags as unbound aggregate in road construction: problems and recommendations. *Proceedings of International Symposium on Unbound Aggregates in Roads, London*, 204–211.
- Voglar, G. E. and Lestan, D. (2010). Solidification/stabilisation of metals contaminated industrial soil from former Zn smelter in Celje, Slovenia, using cement as a hydraulic binder. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 178, 926-933.
- Wan Zuhairi, W. Y., Samsudin, A. R., and Ridwan, N. (2008). The retention characteristics of heavy metals in natural soils using soil column experiment, *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference of International Association for Computer Methods and Advances in Geomechanics (IACMAG)* 1-6 October, 2008 Goa, India
- Wang, G. (2010). Determination of the expansion force of coarse steel slag aggregate. *Construction and Building Materials*, 24, 1961–1966.
- Wang, G. (1992). *Properties and utilization of steel slag in engineering applications*, PhD Thesis, University of Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia.
- Wang, G., Wang, Y., and Gao, Z. (2010). Use of steel slag as a granular material: volume expansion prediction and usability criteria. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 184, 555-560.
- Wegman, D. H., Eise, E. A., and Hu, X. (1994). Acute and chronic respiratory effects of sodium borate particulate exposures. *Environmental Health Perspective*. 100-7, 119-128.
- Wehrer, M. and Totsche, K.U. (2008). Effective rates of heavy metal release from alkaline wastes – quantified by column outflow experiments and inverse simulations. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology* 101, 53 – 66.
- Whalley, C., Hursthouse, A., Rowlat, S., Iqbal-Zahid, P., Vaughan, H., and Durant, R. (1999). Chromium speciation in natural waters draining contaminated land, Glasgow, UK. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, 112, 389– 405.
- Wones, R .G., Stadler, B. L., and Frohman, L. A. (1990). Lack of effect of drinking water barium on cardiovascular risk factor. *Environmental Health Perspective*. 85, 355-359.
- Yildirim, I. Z. and Prezzi, M. (2009). *Use of steel slag in subgrade applications*. FHWA/IN/JTRP-2009/32 Final Report, West Lafayette, Indiana.
- Yzenas, J. J. (2008). Utilization of Steel Furnace Slag in Asphalt, *Presentation in ADC60 Waste Management and Resource Efficiency in Transportation Summer Conference, July 13-15, Broadway, NY*